

U.S. Department of Justice

**THE CHIEF PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OFFICER AND
THE OFFICE OF PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES**

**PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES
ACTIVITIES SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT**



FIRST SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT, FY 2016

OCTOBER 1, 2015 – MARCH 31, 2016

United States Department of Justice

Semi-Annual Section 803 Report

Message from the Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer

I am pleased to present the Department of Justice's Semi-Annual Report for the period from October 1, 2015 through March 31, 2016, as required by Section 803 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1 (2012). Section 803 directs the Senior Official for Privacy, who at the Department of Justice is the Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer (CPCLO), to provide the following information:

- The number and types of privacy reviews undertaken by the CPCLO (including reviews of legislation and testimony, initial privacy assessments, privacy impact assessments, system of records notices, Privacy Act exemption regulations, OMB Circular A-130, data breach incidents, Privacy Act amendment appeals.
- The type and description of advice undertaken by the CPCLO and the Department's Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties (OPCL).
- The number and nature of privacy complaints received by the CPCLO and OPCL for alleged violations and a summary of the disposition of such complaints.
- The outreach to the public informing it about the activities of the CPCLO.
- The other functions of the CPCLO and OPCL.

Overall, the Department's privacy program is supported by a team of dedicated privacy professionals who strive to reinforce a culture and understanding of privacy within the complex and diverse mission work of the Department. The work of the Department's privacy team is evident in the care, consideration, and dialogue about privacy that is incorporated in the daily operations of the Department.

As a member of the Department's privacy team, I am committed to developing innovative, practical, and efficient ways to incorporate and implement privacy requirements and principles as the Department carries out its important mission of protecting and serving the American public.

Peter A. Winn

Acting Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer, *beginning January 2017*

U.S. Department of Justice

I. INTRODUCTION

Section 803 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1 (2012) (hereinafter “Section 803”), requires designation of a senior official to serve as the Attorney General’s principal advisor on privacy and civil liberties matters and imposes reporting requirements on certain activities of such official.¹ At the Department of Justice (“Department” or “DOJ”) the Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer (CPCLO) in the Office of the Deputy Attorney General serves as the principal advisor to the Attorney General on all policy questions involving privacy and civil liberties, and is responsible for the Department’s compliance with established privacy laws, regulations, and policies. The CPCLO is supported in this policy and compliance work by the career staff in the Department’s Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties (OPCL).

Specifically, Section 803 requires periodic reports² related to the discharge of certain privacy and civil liberties functions of the Department’s CPCLO, including information on: the number and types of privacy reviews undertaken by the CPCLO; the type of advice provided and the response given to such advice; the number and nature of the complaints received by the department, agency, or element concerned for alleged violations; and a summary of the disposition of such complaints, the reviews and inquiries conducted, and the impact of the activities of such officer. Many of these functions are discharged, on behalf of the CPCLO, by the Director of OPCL, or by individual attorney-advisors in that office. To provide a standard reportable framework, the Department has coordinated with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in order to tailor the report to the missions and functions of the Department’s CPCLO.

Accordingly, the Department respectfully submits its First Semi-Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016 on such activities of the Department’s CPCLO and OPCL.

II. PRIVACY REVIEWS

Pursuant to Section 803, “information on the number and types of reviews undertaken” is included in the First Semi-Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016.³ The Department conducts privacy reviews of information systems and programs to ensure that privacy issues are identified and analyzed in accordance with federal privacy laws enumerated in controlling authorities such as the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a (2012), the privacy provisions of the E-Government

¹ See 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1 (2012).

² On July 7, 2014, the statute was amended to require semiannual submissions of the periodic reports rather than quarterly submissions. See *id.* § 2000ee-1(f) (201), Pub. L. No. 113-126, Title III, § 329(b)(4), 128 Stat. 1406 (2014).

³ See 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1(f)(2)(A).

Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 (note) (2012), as well as federal privacy policies articulated in OMB guidance, including OMB Circular A-130.⁴

A privacy review for purposes of this report encompasses activities that are part of a systematic and repeatable process such as those listed below:

1. **Proposed legislation, as well as testimony, and reports prepared by departments and agencies within the Executive Branch:**

Proposed legislation, testimony, and reports are reviewed for any privacy and civil liberties issues by OPCL and the CPCLO.

2. **Initial Privacy Assessment (IPA):**

An IPA is a privacy compliance tool developed by the Department of Justice as a first step to: facilitate the identification of potential privacy issues; assess whether privacy documentation is required; and ultimately ensure the Department's compliance with applicable privacy laws and policies.⁵ IPAs are conducted by Department components with coordination and review by OPCL. For purposes of this report, this number represents IPAs that have been reviewed and closed by OPCL.

3. **Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA):**

A PIA is an analysis, required by Section 208 of the E-Government Act of 2002, of how information in identifiable form is processed to: ensure handling conforms to applicable legal, regulatory, and policy requirements regarding privacy; determine the risks and effects of collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information in identifiable form in an electronic information system; and examine and evaluate protections and alternative processes for handling information to mitigate potential privacy risks.⁶ For purposes of this report, this number represents PIAs that have been reviewed, approved, and/or closed by OPCL and/or the CPCLO.

4. **System of Records Notice (SORN):**

A SORN is a notice document required by the Privacy Act of 1974 that describes the existence and character of a system of records, including the categories of individuals whose records are in the system; the categories of records; and the routine uses of the

⁴ See OMB Circular No. A-130, Management of Federal Information Resources, Appendix I, Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, 61 Fed. Reg. 6428 (Feb. 20, 1996), as amended, 65 Fed. Reg. 77,677 (Dec. 12, 2000), available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars_a130.

⁵ For further information about the Department's IPA process, see <http://www.justice.gov/opcl/privacy-compliance-process>.

⁶ See OMB Memorandum, M-03-22, OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, Attachment A, Section II.A.6 (Sept. 26, 2003), available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda_m03-22.

records.⁷ The SORN is published in the Federal Register. For purposes of this report, this number represents SORNs reviewed and approved by OPCL and the CPCLO that result in a published SORN for which the comment period has exhausted.

5. **Privacy Act Exemption Regulation:**

The Privacy Act provides that agencies may exempt some systems of records from certain provisions of the Act. A Privacy Act exemption regulation is the regulation promulgated by an agency and published in the Federal Register that provides the reasons why a system of records maintained by the agency is exempt from certain provisions of the Act.⁸ For purposes of this report, this number represents exemption regulations that have been reviewed and approved by OPCL and the CPCLO that result in a final regulation for which the comment period has exhausted.

6. **Information Collection Notice:**

An information collection notice is a notice to individuals as required by subsection (e)(3) of the Privacy Act.⁹ The notice, which must be on the form used to collect the information or on a separate form that the individual can retain, includes the authority for collecting the information; the principal purposes for which the information is intended to be used; the routine uses of the information; and the effects on the individual, if any, of not providing all or any of part of the requested information. For purposes of this report, this number represents reviews of information collection notices conducted by OPCL to ensure that they fully meet the requirements of subsection (e)(3) of the Privacy Act.

7. **OMB Circular A-130:**

OMB Circular A-130 reviews include assessments of the following: SORNs to ensure that they are accurate and up to date; routine uses to ensure that they are still required and compatible with the purpose for which the information was collected; record practices and retention schedules to ensure that they are still appropriate; exemption regulations to ensure that they are still necessary; contracts to ensure that appropriate Federal Acquisition Regulation language is used to bind the contractor to provisions of the Privacy Act; Computer Matching programs to ensure compliance; civil or criminal violations of the Privacy Act to assess concerns; and agency programs for any privacy vulnerabilities.¹⁰

⁷ See 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(4).

⁸ See *id.* § 552a(j), (k).

⁹ See *id.* § 552a(e)(3).

¹⁰ See OMB Circular No. A-130, Management of Federal Information Resources, Appendix I, Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, 61 Fed. Reg. 6428 (Feb. 20, 1996), as amended, 65 Fed. Reg. 77,677 (Dec. 12, 2000), available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars_a130.

For purposes of this report, this number represents the systems of records that have been reviewed in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-130 by Department components and submitted to OPCL. These reviews are conducted on an annual basis in coordination with the Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA)¹¹ reviews. Specific details of such FISMA reviews are submitted through the annual FISMA report.

8. Data Breach or Incident:

The DOJ Instruction 0900.00.01, *Incident Response Procedures for Data Breaches*, defines a data breach as “the loss of control, compromise, unauthorized disclosure, unauthorized acquisition, unauthorized access, or any similar term referring to situations where persons other than authorized users and for an other than authorized purpose have access or potential access to information, whether physical or electronic.”¹² In addition, the Instruction defines an incident as “an occurrence that actually or potentially jeopardizes the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of an information system or the information the system processes, stores, or transmits or that constitutes a violation or imminent threat of violation of security policies, security procedures, acceptable use policies or standard computer security practices.” The Instruction applies to all DOJ components and contractors who operate systems supporting DOJ.¹³ For purposes of this report, this number includes data breaches and incidents that have been formally reviewed by the Department’s Core Management Team (DOJ’s organizational team chaired by the CPCLO and the Chief Information Officer, which convenes in the event of a significant data breach involving PII).

9. Privacy Act Amendment Appeal:

A Privacy Act amendment appeal is an appeal of an initial agency action regarding a request from an individual to amend their information that is maintained in a Privacy Act system of records.¹⁴ For purposes of this report, this number represents the number of appeals that have been adjudicated and closed by OPCL.

¹¹ Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-283, 128 Stat. 3073 (Dec. 18, 2014).

¹² The Department’s Instruction 0900.00.01 titled “Incident Response Procedures for Data Breaches” is available at <http://www.justice.gov/opcl/breach-procedures.pdf>.

¹³ The DOJ Instruction 0900.00.01.

¹⁴ See 5 U.S.C. § 552a(d)(2), (3).

PRIVACY REVIEWS¹⁵	
Type of Review	Number of Reviews
Legislation, testimony, and reports	174
Initial Privacy Assessments	11
Data breach and incident reviews	1
Privacy Act Amendment Appeals	2

III. ADVICE

Pursuant to Section 803, “the type of advice provided and the response given to such advice” is included in the First Semi-Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016.¹⁶ The CPCLO’s responsibilities include the provision of both formal and informal advice addressing the issuance of formal written policies, procedures, guidance, or interpretations of privacy requirements for certain circumstances or business processes. This advice has been drafted or authorized by the CPCLO to respond to issues or concerns regarding safeguards for privacy and civil liberties and relates to the issuance of regulations, orders, guidance, agreements, or training. The CPCLO received appropriate responses to the formal and informal advice provided.

Also, on December 18, 2015, President Obama signed the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015 (CISA)¹⁷ into law, which required the Attorney General and the Secretary of Homeland Security to jointly develop, submit to Congress, and make publicly available interim and final guidelines relating to privacy and civil liberties that govern the receipt, retention, use, and dissemination of cyber threat indicators by a federal entity obtained in connection with activities authorized in CISA. The CPCLO and OPCL worked with DHS to draft and finalize both the interim and final guidelines. As part of the process, the CPCLO and OPCL also participated in outreach to civil society organizations and industry to obtain additional stakeholder input.

IV. COMPLAINTS

Pursuant to Section 803, “the number and nature of the complaints received by the department, agency, or element concerned for alleged violations” are included in the First Semi-

¹⁵ For this First Semi-Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016, OPCL was undergoing a transition, which included a lack of resources available to conduct all privacy reviews, such as PIAs and SORNs, that are typically included in the report.

¹⁶ See 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1(f)(2)(B).

¹⁷ See [6 U.S.C. §§ 1501-10](#) (Supp. III 2016).

Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016.¹⁸ A privacy complaint encompasses a written allegation (excluding complaints filed in litigation against the Department) concerning a violation of privacy protections in the administration of the programs and operations of the Department that is submitted to or through the CPCLO and/or OPCL. Complaints directly received by components without notice to the CPCLO and/or OPCL are handled by components and are not counted for purposes of this report. Privacy complaints are separated into three categories:

1. Process and procedural issues (such as appropriate consent, collection, and/or notice);
2. Redress issues (such as misidentification or correction of personally identifiable information, which are outside of the Privacy Act amendment process); and
3. Operational issues (inquiries regarding general privacy, including Privacy Act matters).

A civil liberties complaint encompasses a written allegation (excluding complaints filed in litigation against the Department) for a problem with or violation of civil liberties safeguards concerning the administration of Department programs and operations that is submitted to or through the CPCLO and/or OPCL.

For each type of privacy or civil liberties complaint received by the CPCLO and/or OPCL during the reporting period, the report will include the number of complaints in which (1) responsive action was taken or (2) no action was required. In the event a complaint is received within five business days of the last day of the close of the semi-annual period, the complaint may be counted and addressed in the subsequent semi-annual period if time constraints hinder a thorough examination of the complaint in the semi-annual period in which received.

¹⁸ See U.S.C. § 2000ee-1(f)(2)(C).

PRIVACY AND/OR CIVIL LIBERTIES COMPLAINTS¹⁹				
Type of Complaint	Number of Complaints	Disposition of Complaint		
		Referred to Component for review	Referred to Office of Inspector General	Referred to another Component or Agency for review
Process and Procedure	3	2	0	1
Redress	3	1	0	2
Operational	1	1	0	0
Civil Liberties Complaints	0	0	0	0
Total	7			

V. INFORMING THE PUBLIC

Pursuant to Section 803, the CPCLO shall “otherwise inform the public of the activities of such officer, as appropriate and in a manner consistent with the protection of classified information and applicable law.”²⁰ The CPCLO and OPCL have continued to engage stakeholders in the privacy community. They have conducted outreach to the privacy advocacy community, the technology industry, and international organizations. The CPCLO also participated in a number of speaking engagements to promote transparency of the Department’s policies, initiatives, and oversight with respect to the protection of privacy and civil liberties.

VI. OTHER FUNCTIONS

Pursuant to Section 803, the First Semi-Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016 “shall include information on the discharge of each of the functions of the officer concerned,” which include

¹⁹ For the First Semi-Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016, OPCL received 245 inquiries in the form of phone calls, emails, or letters from members of the public, non-federal entities, and within the Department. After a thorough review, OPCL determined that seven of the inquiries received qualified as privacy and/or civil liberties complaints against the Department. For example, one complaint involved a question regarding the handling of PII. Other complaints involved a referral to a federal agency. The other 238 inquiries did not qualify as privacy and/or civil liberties complaints because the matters raised in those inquiries fell outside the purview of the Office because either they were against private or other non-DOJ entities, or they did not raise issues concerning privacy and/or civil liberties matters at all.

²⁰ See 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1(g)(2).

the following additional functions of the CPCLO.²¹ Throughout the reporting period, the CPCLO and OPCL have also worked with the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board and OMB to address privacy concerns, as well as ways to improve agency outreach and privacy training programs. Moreover, the CPCLO and OPCL have met with other federal agencies to improve inter-agency coordination, and to discuss agency privacy practices and common concerns. These meetings enable OPCL to review and assess the Department's information and privacy-related policies, and make improvements where appropriate and necessary.

During the reporting period, the CPCLO and OPCL continued to meet with the European Delegation regarding EU-U.S. Data Protection and Privacy Agreement (DPPA) negotiations. These meetings began earlier when the CPCLO participated in a negotiation session of the DPPA on May 22-23, 2014, in Brussels, Belgium. In concert with such negotiations, the CPCLO led a process that included federal agencies to develop proposed legislation that extends to citizens of certain countries the core benefits that Americans enjoy under the Privacy Act with regard to information shared with the U.S. for law enforcement purposes. This proposed bill, H.R. 1428, introduced on March 18, 2015, and titled Judicial Redress Act of 2015, was signed into law on February 24, 2016.²²

On February 9, 2016, the President signed an Executive Order establishing the Federal Privacy Council.²³ The Council serves as the principal interagency forum to improve the Government privacy practices of agencies and help Senior Agency Officials for Privacy better coordinate and collaborate on privacy initiatives, educate the federal workforce about privacy issues and requirements, and exchange best practices. The CPCLO is a member of the Executive Committee of the Council, and serves as Co-Chair of the Technology and Innovation Committee, which is composed of the Digital Privacy Sub-committee, and the Electronic Authentication Taskforce.

²¹ See 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1(f)(2).

²² See 5 U.S.C. 552a note.

²³ Exec. Order No. 13719, 81 Fed. Reg. 7,687 (Feb. 9, 2016).