From: **Carl Stork**
Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 1997 12:06 PM
To: Megan Bliss; Jim Allchin (Exchange); Jonathan Roberts; Bill Veghte; Moshe Dunie
Cc: Jeff M. Johnson; Adam Taylor
Subject: RE: Closure on Memphis action items from 3YO and Billg Memphis review

The assumption about the date is missing <g>

We do not have closure on the issues below at present. 16 Mb will be a challenge for acceptable perf with IE4 & other apps. IE4 is not being developed as joined to Memphis at the hip - at present Memphis is an afterthought. It is not one of the four main test platforms for IE4. We are being encouraged by the IE4 team to release a Memphis Beta 1 with the old shell.

We need to rethink the plans & make sure we have a plan that makes sense. Today I would not tell anyone that it is possible to ship an integrated IE4/Memphis product in 1997.

-----Original Message-----
From: **Megan Bliss**
Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 1997 11:43 AM
To: Jim Allchin (Exchange); Carl Stork; Jonathan Roberts; Bill Veghte; Moshe Dunie
Cc: Jeff M. Johnson; Adam Taylor
Subject: Closure on Memphis action items from 3YO and Billg Memphis review
Importance: High

From the 3YO, and the subsequent BillG Memphis review, these are the things that we agreed upon. I want to make sure that they still hold true.

1) The Memphis minimum system configuration is 486, 16Mb.
2) We will be doing a Win3.1 upgrade.
3) IE 4 and Memphis are joined at the hip.

It is important to get closure on these issues so that we can start to effectively communicate what to expect with this release to the various involved parties (internally, the field, etc.) For instance, we have yet to see a plan for the Win3.1 upgrade.

I am also concerned that we haven't come to any closure about the proposed additional features that were brought up at the Billg Memphis review.

Please correct me if these are not plan of record. Our teams are marching with these assumptions.

Thanks,
Megan

GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 160

TXAG 0009653
CONFIDENTIAL