Rick Frederking (LCA)

From: JBrendsel@aol.com[SMTP:JBrendsel@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, May 20, 1996 5:17 PM
To: MBerlow@aol.com
Cc: Jay Goldstein; Greg Sullivan
Subject: Re: Offering Standalone versions of IE to AOL members

I don't see any remaining obstacles from our end, do you? Given required production times, though, we are probably looking at July 1 for launching any related MS site.

jon.

PENGAD-Bayonne, N.J.
GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT
338

MS6 5002960
CONFIDENTIAL

Rick Frederking (LCA)

From: JBrendsel@aol.com[SMTP:JBrendsel@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, May 20, 1996 4:54 PM
To: Jay Goldstein; Greg Sullivan
Cc: MBerlow@aol.com
Subject: Offering Standalone versions of IE to AOL members

Jay -

Last Monday you asked for an update on our one-button install. I apologize for not getting back to you until now. While I knew it was possible, I needed to find out who would have ownership of such a procedure.

Ironically, the answer is that MS would. Right now, you already have a *.exe installer that puts everything in the right place. We might need a special version of your installer to modify a couple of minor items. But substantially all the work has already been done.

On the winsock shim issue. It will definitely possible to run the 32-bit IE with our 16-bit client. The least preferred method is to have the your installer install our winsock winsock shim, which has already been built. The alternative, and much preferable, is to have MS build a special version (really easy) of the standalone IE which links in our Winsock libraries.

So...were still ready to roll from our end.

Jon.

MS6 5002961
CONFIDENTIAL