From: Nancy Bobs
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 12/6/10 7:49pm
Subject: Microsoft found Gulity, but rewarded

From:

J. Robert Suckling
2904 Whitefield Rd
Churchville Md. 21028

phone: 410 436 2622
email: bobs@strcat.com

Dear US DOJ Rep.

I was just reading about how Microsoft
Won the anti-trust computer operating
system monopoly case.

> It is true that the federal appeals court
> in June upheld the lower court ruling that
> the company used illegal tactics to maintain
> its Windows personal computer operating
> system monopoly.

(quoted from Reuters news clip see URL below).

But the result was they won, since the
penalty was to do a thing that helps them
build there illegal computer operating
system monopoly.

Any one knows if you teach the children to
use products from only one vender, that
this is a big win for that vendor.

We need to find an alternative
to this.
one example comes from a Reuters news clip
http://www.reuters.com/news_article.jhtml?type=businessnews&StoryID=391566

> Red Hat Inc. RHAT.O, the maker of the Linux
> http://www.redhat.com
> operating system that competes against
> Microsoft, proposed on its own offering
> every school district in the country its own
> open source software free of charge,
> while encouraging Microsoft to spend its money
> on buying more hardware for the schools.

If Microsoft was required to field computers running
non-Microsoft software this would teach your future
that computers are not Microsoft. That there are
other, even better computer operating system,
then the mocrosoft product.

So in this case the money should be spend on
hardware, not simply turned into something
that costs Microsoft, next to nothing.
Since the software is already written,
and making a few copys will cost the
software giant peneys, on thousands
and thousands of copys.

Please see have it seen to that this
illegal monopoly does not get away with
self promotion, something they willing
spend much more then this and do, as a
punishment for being found guilty,
in a most important court case.

Open source software is the future.
But Open source software is a gift.
to the public, and private donators
can not afford the PR budget that
the monopoly can afford.

Thank you