

**From:** Harry Bulbrook  
**To:** microsoft.atr(a)usdoj.gov  
**Date:** 1/23/02 2:28pm  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

I encourage the Justice department to reject the current proposed judgement against Microsoft.

One example instance of Microsoft's anticompetitive strategies:

As the network administrators for a large network in 1995, we prepared for many machine to upgrade to windows95. This would be beneficial for many reasons, not least of which was technical weaknesses of its predecessor, windows 3.1. Windows95 was not compatible with our existing tcpip stack. Fine, since windows95 came with it's own. But, being in a large environment required automatic assignment of IP addresses, something we had been doing with BOOTP for several years. Windows 95 supported DHCP (BOOTP's successor), but not BOOTP, even though DHCP explicitly provided for BOOTP support in the RFC. Therefore, to avoid moving to completely static IP assignment, a DHCP server must be setup. The only DHCP server available at the time was included in WindowsNT 3.51, which we did not have, being a NetWare shop. NT was therefore purchased, requiring specialized support classes and budgetary consideration. In effect NT was forcibly introduced, and when explanations were demanded as to why two network operation systems were required, the decision was made to move completely to NT, eliminating NetWare.

This experience was the first of several of its kind. I strongly recommend a harsher penalty for Microsoft, preferably requiring the publishing and documentation of its APIs for windows, word/excel/powerpoint/access save file formats, and the establishment of stronger strictures against this kind of behavior.

Thank You

Harry Bulbrook  
Instructor, Durham Technical Community College  
1637 Eaast Lawson St.  
Durham NC 27707