FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20579

In the Matter of the Claim of

5 U.S.C. §552(b)(6)

Claim No. IRQ-II-096

Decision No. IRQ-II-249

Against the Republic of Iraq

Counsel for Claimant:

Daniel Wolf, Esq. Law Office of Daniel Wolf

PROPOSED DECISION

Claimant brings this claim against the Republic of Iraq ("Iraq") alleging that Iraq held her hostage in violation of international law from August to December 1990. Because she has established that Iraq held her hostage for 130 days, she is entitled to an award of \$800,000.

BACKGROUND AND BASIS OF THE PRESENT CLAIM

Claimant alleges that she was a U.S. diplomat stationed at the U.S. Embassy in Kuwait when Iraq invaded the country on August 2, 1990. She asserts that, beginning with the invasion and for approximately 18 weeks thereafter, Iraq confined her in Kuwait. Claimant alleges that, during this time, she was "held against [her] will as a hostage in Kuwait . . . in violation of international law." After the Iraqi government authorized all foreign nationals remaining in Kuwait and/or Iraq to leave, Claimant flew out of Kuwait (via Baghdad, Iraq) on December 9, 1990.

Although Claimant was not among them, many of the U.S. nationals in Iraq and Kuwait at the time of the 1990-91 Iraqi occupation of Kuwait sued Iraq (and others) in federal court for, among other things, hostage-taking. Those cases were pending when, in September 2010, the United States and Iraq concluded an *en bloc* (lump-sum) settlement agreement. The Agreement, which entered into force in May 2011, covered a number of personal injury claims of U.S. nationals arising from acts of the former Iraqi regime occurring prior to October 7, 2004, including claims of personal injury caused by hostage-taking. Exercising its authority to distribute money from the settlement funds, the U.S. Department of State provided compensation to numerous individuals whose claims were covered by the Agreement, including some whom Iraq had allegedly taken hostage or unlawfully detained following Iraq's 1990 invasion of Kuwait.

Under the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949 ("ICSA"), the Secretary of State has statutory authority to refer "a category of claims against a foreign government" to this Commission.⁴ The Secretary has delegated that authority to the State Department's Legal Adviser, who, by letter dated October 7, 2014, referred three categories of claims to this Commission for adjudication and certification.⁵ This was the State Department's second referral of claims to the Commission under the Claims

¹ See, e.g., Hill v. Republic of Iraq, 175 F. Supp. 2d 36 (D.D.C. 2001); Vine v. Republic of Iraq, 459 F. Supp. 2d 10 (D.D.C. 2006).

² See Claims Settlement Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Iraq, Sept. 2, 2010, T.I.A.S. No. 11-522 ("Claims Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement").

³ See id. Art. III(1)(a)(ii).

⁴ See 22 U.S.C. § 1623(a)(1)(C) (2012).

⁵ See Letter dated October 7, 2014, from the Honorable Mary E. McLeod, Acting Legal Adviser, Department of State, to the Honorable Anuj C. Desai and Sylvia M. Becker, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission ("2014 Referral") or "October 2014 Referral").

Settlement Agreement, the first having been by letter dated November 14, 2012 ("2012 Referral" or "November 2012 Referral").

One category of claims from the 2014 Referral is applicable here. That category, known as Category A, consists of

claims by U.S. nationals for hostage-taking¹ by Iraq² in violation of international law prior to October 7, 2004, provided that the claimant was not a plaintiff in pending litigation against Iraq for hostage taking³ at the time of the entry into force of the Claims Settlement Agreement and has not received compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement from the U.S. Department of State. . . .

_

2014 Referral at \P 3.

On October 23, 2014, the Commission published notice in the *Federal Register* announcing the commencement of the second Iraq Claims Program pursuant to the ICSA and the 2014 Referral.⁷

¹ For purposes of this referral, hostage-taking would include unlawful detention by Iraq that resulted in an inability to leave Iraq or Kuwait after Iraq invaded Kuwait on August 2, 1990.

² For purposes of this referral, "Iraq" shall mean the Republic of Iraq, the Government of the Republic of Iraq, any agency or instrumentality of the Republic of Iraq, and any official, employee or agent of the Republic of Iraq acting within the scope of his or her office, employment or agency.

³ For purposes of this category, pending litigation against Iraq for hostage taking refers to the following matters: *Acree v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 02-cv-00632 and 06-cv-00723, *Hill v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 99-cv-03346, *Vine v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 01-cv-02674; *Seyam (Islamic Society of Wichita) v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 03-cv-00888; *Simon v. Iraq*, D.D.C. 03-cv-00691.

⁶ Although the November 2012 Referral involved claims of U.S. nationals who were held hostage or unlawfully detained by Iraq, it did not involve hostage-taking claims *per se*. Rather, it consisted of certain claimants who had *already received* compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement from the State Department for their hostage-taking claims, and it authorized the Commission to award additional compensation to those claimants, provided they could show, among other things, that they suffered a "serious personal injury" during their detention. The 2012 Referral expressly noted that the "payment already received by the claimant under the Claims Settlement Agreement compensated the claimant for his or her experience for the entire duration of the period in which the claimant was held hostage or was subject to unlawful detention and encompassed physical, mental, and emotional injuries generally associated with such captivity or detention." 2012 Referral, *supra*, n.3.

⁷ Program for Adjudication: Commencement of Claims Program, 79 Fed. Reg. 63,439 (Oct. 23, 2014).

On September 10, 2015, the Commission received from Claimant a completed Statement of Claim seeking compensation under Category A of the 2014 Referral, together with exhibits supporting the elements of her claim.

DISCUSSION

Jurisdiction

This Commission's authority to hear claims is limited to the category of claims referred to it by the United States Department of State. The Commission's jurisdiction under the "Category A" paragraph of the 2014 Referral is limited to claims for hostage-taking of (1) "U.S. nationals," provided that the claimant (2) was not a plaintiff in any litigation against Iraq for hostage taking pending on May 22, 2011 (the "Pending Litigation"), and (3) has not received compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement from the Department of State. 2014 Referral ¶ 3.

Nationality

This claims program is limited to claims of "U.S. nationals." Here, that means a claimant must have been a national of the United States when the claim arose and continuously thereafter until May 22, 2011, the date the Agreement entered into force. 9 Claimant satisfies the nationality requirement. She has provided a copy of her U.S. birth certificate, as well as a copy of her current U.S. passport, which together show that she was a U.S. national at the time of the alleged hostage-taking (August through December of 1990) and that she remained a U.S. national through the effective date of the Claims Settlement Agreement.

No Pending Litigation

Additionally, Category A states that the claimant may not have been a plaintiff in

⁸ See 22 U.S.C. § 1623(a)(1)(C).

⁹ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 4-5 (2016).

any of the so-called Pending Litigation cases at the time of the entry into force of the Claims Settlement Agreement.¹⁰ Footnote 3 of the 2014 Referral specifically lists the Pending Litigation cases for purposes of the Referral. Claimant has averred under oath in a 2015 declaration, and the pleadings in the cases cited in footnote 3 confirm, that she was not a plaintiff in any of those Pending Litigation cases. The Commission thus finds that Claimant has also satisfied this element of her claim.

No Compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement from the Department of State

The Claimant also satisfies the final jurisdictional requirement. Claimant has stated that she has never "received any compensation under the [U.S.-Iraq] Claims Settlement Agreement] from the Department of State." Further, we have no evidence that the State Department has provided her any compensation under the Claims Settlement Agreement. Therefore, Claimant meets this element of her claim.

In summary, this claim is within the Commission's jurisdiction pursuant to the 2014 Referral and is entitled to adjudication on the merits.

Merits

Factual Allegations

Claimant states that Iraq held her hostage from August 2, 1990, until December 9, 1990, a total of 130 days. She alleges that she was Consul to the U.S. Embassy in Kuwait when Iraq invaded the country on August 2, 1990.¹¹ That morning, Claimant was at her residence in Kuwait City when she received a phone call from the Embassy notifying her of the invasion. Afterwards, she immediately proceeded to the Embassy. Claimant states

¹⁰ The Agreement entered into force on May 22, 2011. See Claims Settlement Agreement, art. IX.

¹¹ For further factual background regarding the Iraqi government's treatment of U.S. diplomats and other U.S. nationals employed by the U.S. government at the U.S. Embassy in Kuwait after the August 2, 1990 invasion, see Claim No. IRO-II-081, Decision No. IRO-II-238, at 5-10 (2018).

that, around the middle of August, the United States and Iraq reached an agreement whereby non-essential Embassy personnel and their dependents would be permitted to drive to Baghdad, from where they would be permitted to leave Iraq. On August 23, 1990, a convoy of non-essential Embassy personnel and their dependents left the Embassy and travelled to Baghdad. Claimant states that she was not among those on that convoy.

Claimant asserts that, on August 24, 1990, "Iraqi military forces in Kuwait sealed off the [Embassy] compound, [and] prohibited anyone from entering or exiting" She states that, during her subsequent period of confinement at the Embassy, she "lived in a state of constant anxiety and fear, knowing that Iraqi military forces could storm through the gates at any moment" On December 6, 1990, the Iraqi government released all foreign nationals remaining in Iraq and Kuwait, ¹² and on December 9, 1990, Claimant boarded a flight to Frankfurt, Germany (via Baghdad), where she stayed for one night before flying home to the United States.

Supporting Evidence

Claimant has supported her claim with, among other things, a sworn declaration, dated August 31, 2015, in which she describes her ordeal in Kuwait; a copy of a U.S. State Department-issued Consular Service Award, dated November 1990, given to Claimant for her "direction of consular operations in Kuwait during a time marked by the Iraqi invasion[]"; a copy of a U.S. State Department-issued Award for Valor, dated May 1991, given to Claimant for her service, courage, and dedication "in the face of hardship, deprivation and intimidation in the four months following the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait[]; and copies of several contemporaneous news articles corroborating Claimant's version of

¹² See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 12.

events and the dates of her captivity. The Commission also takes note of a book excerpt written by one of the other diplomats trapped in the U.S. Embassy in Kuwait that specifically mentions Claimant by name as one of the others in the Embassy during the siege. ¹³ An excerpt from a different book, written by another woman trapped in Kuwait during the occupation by Iraq, and published in 1993, also specifically mentions Claimant, noting her arrival in Kuwait just before the invasion and her tireless efforts to assist Americans in Kuwait during the invasion. ¹⁴

Claimant has also submitted a number of documents that provide background about the broader geopolitical situation during the First Gulf War in 1990-91, including some that relate specifically to the circumstances faced by U.S. nationals in Iraq and Kuwait at the time. These documents include statements from U.S. and Iraqi officials, resolutions of the United Nations Security Council, newspaper articles, a report from Amnesty International on human rights violations committed by Iraq in 1990, unclassified cables and a memorandum from the U.S. Department of State, and affidavits submitted in two lawsuits brought by other U.S. nationals who were also in Kuwait or Iraq during the First Gulf War.

Additionally, the Commission takes notice of Federal News Service transcriptions of press briefings by U.S. government officials, news articles, and publically available unclassified State Department documents that provide further information about Iraq's treatment of U.S. diplomatic personnel accredited to the U.S. Embassy in Kuwait and their dependents after the August 2, 1990 invasion.

¹³ See Claim No. IRQ-II-082, Decision No. IRQ-II-241, at 6 (2018).

See Claim No. IRQ-II-082, Decision No. IRQ-II-241, at 6 (2018).
 See Claim No. IRQ-II-269, Decision No. IRQ-II-232, at 7 (2018); Claim No. IRQ-II-274, Decision No.

IRQ-II-240, at 7 (2018).

Legal Standard

To make out a substantive claim under Category A of the 2014 Referral, a claimant must show that (1) Iraq was engaged in an armed conflict and (2) during that conflict, Iraq took the claimant hostage. The Commission has previously held that, to establish a hostage-taking claim under international law in this program, a claimant must show that Iraq (a) seized or detained the claimant and (b) threatened the claimant with death, injury or continued detention (c) in order to compel a third party, such as the United States government, to do or abstain from doing any act as an explicit or implicit condition for the claimant's release. A claimant can establish the first element of this standard by showing that the Iraqi government confined the claimant to a particular location or locations within Iraq or Kuwait, or prohibited the claimant from leaving Iraq and/or Kuwait. The legal standard we apply in this program applies equally to diplomatic personnel and their families. The legal standard we apply in this program applies equally to

Application of Standard to this Claim

(1) Armed Conflict: Claimant alleges that Iraq took her hostage in Kuwait on August 2, 1990, and held her hostage for 134 days, until December 13, 1990, when Iraqi officials allowed him to leave Kuwait. In its first decision awarding compensation for hostage-taking under the 2014 Referral, the Commission held that during this entire period, Iraq was engaged in an armed conflict with Kuwait. Thus, Claimant satisfies this element of the standard.

¹⁵ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 16. An estate claimant would of course need to make this showing as to its decedent.

¹⁶ See id. at 17-20.

¹⁷ See id. at 17.

¹⁸ See Claim No. IRQ-II-081, Decision No. IRQ-II-238, at 11.

¹⁹ See Claim No. IRO-II-161, Decision No. IRO-II-003, at 16-17.

(2) <u>Hostage-taking</u>: To satisfy the hostage-taking requirement of Category A of the 2014 Referral, Claimant must show that Iraq (a) seized or detained her and (b) threatened her with death, injury or continued detention (c) in order to compel a third party, such as the United States government, to do or abstain from doing any act as an explicit or implicit condition for her release. Claimant satisfies this standard for the 130-day period from August 2, 1990 to December 9, 1990.

(a) <u>Detention/deprivation of freedom</u>: For purposes of analyzing Claimant's allegations of having been detained, her time in Kuwait and Iraq following the Iraqi invasion can be divided into three periods: (i) between the Iraqi invasion on August 2, 1990, and the State Department's August 7, 1990 announcement recognizing that U.S. diplomats in Kuwait were in the same position as "private American citizens"; (ii) between that August 7, 1990 announcement and December 6, 1990—*i.e.*, the period during which Iraq expressly prevented diplomats and staff members of the U.S. Embassy in Kuwait from leaving Kuwait and Iraq; and (iii) from the December 6th announcement authorizing all remaining foreign nationals in Kuwait and Iraq to leave until Claimant's departure on December 19, 1990.²⁰

From August 2, 1990, until August 7, 1990, Iraq prevented Claimant from leaving Kuwait. During this period, Iraq made no formal distinction between diplomatic personnel such as Claimant and other U.S. nationals, who, as we have previously recognized, were threatened with immediate seizure and forcible detention.²¹ Although some foreign nationals did manage to leave Kuwait and/or Iraq during this period, Claimant could not reasonably be expected to have escaped.²² Iraqi authorities were

²⁰ Claim No. IRQ-II-081, Decision No. IRQ-II-238, at 12-13.

²¹ See id. at 14.

²² See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 21.

forcibly detaining foreign nationals (including U.S. nationals) in Kuwait, relocating many to Baghdad against their will.²³ Claimant understandably had, as the United Nations Compensation Commission has put it, a "manifestly well-founded fear" of being killed or forcibly detained if she had left the embassy.²⁴ The Commission has previously recognized that for the purposes of the legal standard applicable here, putting Claimant in this situation in effect amounts to detention.²⁵ Iraq thus detained Claimant from August 2, 1990, to August 7, 1990.

Between August 7, 1990, and December 6, 1990, Iraqi authorities adopted various policies that prevented Claimant and other U.S. diplomats and embassy staff accredited to the Kuwait Embassy from leaving Kuwait or Iraq. ²⁶ As the Commission has previously recognized, Iraq did not extend assurances that the U.S. government believed to be sufficiently credible to allow Kuwait Embassy staff members and their dependents to depart until August 22, 1990. ²⁷ Iraqi authorities subsequently reneged on those commitments on or around August 24, 1990, before adopting a policy that prohibited the departure of Kuwait Embassy staff and their dependents from Iraq and Kuwait as long as the U.S. embassy in Kuwait remained open. ²⁸ While the U.S. was able to negotiate the release of some dependents on or around August 25, 1990, Iraq refused to release any of the Kuwait embassy staff members who were confined in the Baghdad or Kuwait embassies until announcing the release of all foreign nationals on December 6, 1990. ²⁹ Thus, for Claimant, the policy of prohibiting Kuwait Embassy staff members from

²³ See id.

²⁴ Report and Recommendations Made by the Panel of Commissioners Concerning the First Instalment of Individual Claims for Damages up to US \$100,000 (Category "C" Claims), UN Doc. S/AC.26/1994/3 (1994) at 93

²⁵ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 21.

²⁶ See Claim No. IRQ-II-081, Decision No. IRQ-II-238, at 14.

²⁷ See id.

²⁸ See id. at 14-15.

²⁹ See id. at 15.

leaving Iraq and Kuwait lasted until December 6, 1990, when the Iraqi government announced that all remaining foreign nationals in Kuwait and Iraq could leave.³⁰

Although Claimant may have been legally permitted to leave Iraq on December 6, 1990, her detention did not end on that date. As the Commission has previously recognized, a claimant's detention ends only on the date that she is released from the control of the person or entity that detained him.³¹ Any attempt "[by the perpetrator] to restrict [the] movements" of a claimant establishes control,³² whereas a claimant who has a reasonable opportunity to leave the site of his or her captivity is deemed no longer to be under the perpetrator's control.³³

Under this standard, Claimant remained under Iraq's control until December 9, 1990. The Commission has previously held that Iraq imposed conditions on air travel that limited the ability of foreign nationals, including U.S. nationals, to leave Iraq and/or Kuwait in December 1990.³⁴ Indeed, the available evidence indicates that Claimant left Iraq at the first reasonable opportunity after the December 6th announcement, on a U.S. government-chartered flight that left Iraq on December 9, 1990. Because there is no evidence that she remained voluntarily in Iraq at any time during this period, we conclude that she was under Iraq's control and thus continued to be detained from December 6, 1990, to December 9, 1990.

In sum, Iraq detained Claimant from August 2, 1990, until December 9, 1990.

(b) Threat: The Iraqi government threatened Kuwait Embassy staff members, diplomats, and dependents with continued detention. This included Claimant.

³¹ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 22; see also Claim No. LIB-II-183, Decision No. LIB-II-178, at 13 (2012) (Proposed Decision).

³⁰ See id.

³² Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 22 (citing Claim No. LIB-II-183, Decision No. LIB-II-178, at 12 (Proposed Decision)).

³³ See id.

³⁴ See Claim No. IRQ-II-180, Decision No. IRQ-II-140, at 10-11 (2017).

Iraqi authorities made clear that Embassy staff members, diplomats, and dependents would not be permitted to leave, notwithstanding Iraq's sporadic and unreliable statements to the contrary during Claimant's period of detention.³⁵

In short, the Iraqi government made an unequivocal threat to continue to detain Kuwait Embassy staff members in Kuwait and Iraq. Claimant was a U.S. diplomat accredited to Kuwait at the time. Claimant has thus established that Iraq threatened to continue to detain her.

(c) Third party coercion: The reason Iraq detained Claimant and threatened her with continued detention was to compel the United States government to act in a certain way as an explicit and/or implicit condition for her release. Iraqi authorities informed the United States that before it would release detained diplomats, embassy personnel, and their dependents, it wanted the United States to close its embassy in Kuwait.³⁶ Indeed, at the time, the U.S. Government itself understood Iraq's actions to be hostage-taking.³⁷

In sum, this claim meets the standard for hostage-taking within the meaning of the 2014 Referral. Iraq held Claimant hostage in violation of international law for a period of 130 days, and Claimant is thus entitled to compensation.

COMPENSATION

Having concluded that the present claim is compensable, the Commission must next determine the appropriate amount of compensation.

In its first decision awarding compensation for hostage-taking under the 2014 Referral, the Commission held that successful claimants should be awarded

³⁵ See Claim No. IRQ-II-081, Decision No. IRQ-II-238, at 16.

³⁶ See id.

³⁷ See id. at 16-17.

compensation in the amount of \$150,000 plus an additional \$5,000 for each day the claimant was in captivity.³⁸ Therefore, for the 130 days Iraq held Claimant hostage, he is entitled to an award of \$800,000, which is \$150,000 plus (130 x \$5,000). This amount constitutes the entirety of the compensation to which Claimant is entitled under the Claims Settlement Agreement.

The Commission hereby enters the following award, which will be certified to the Secretary of the Treasury for payment under sections 7 and 8 of the ICSA.³⁹

AWARD

Claimant is entitled to an award in the amount of \$800,000.

Dated at Washington, DC, April 11, 2018 and entered as the Proposed Decision of the Commission.

This decision was entered as the Commission's Final Decision on

July 10, 2018

Anuj C. Desai, Commissioner

Sylvia M. Becker, Commissioner

NOTICE: Pursuant to the Regulations of the Commission, any objections must be filed within 15 days of delivery of this Proposed Decision. Absent objection, this decision will be entered as the Final Decision of the Commission upon the expiration of 30 days after delivery, unless the Commission otherwise orders. FCSC Regulations, 45 C.F.R. § 509.5 (e), (g) (2017).

³⁸ See Claim No. IRQ-II-161, Decision No. IRQ-II-003, at 24-26.

³⁹ 22 U.S.C. §§ 1626-1627 (2012).