REPORT TO CONGRESS ON THE 2009 ACTIVITIES OF GRANTEES RECEIVING FEDERAL FUNDS UNDER THE GRANTS TO REDUCE VIOLENT CRIMES AGAINST WOMEN ON CAMPUS PROGRAM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	Page 1
II.	Award Process	Page 1
III.	Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees	Page 2
IV.	Campus Program Grantees' Activities	Page 2
V.	Flagship Initiative and Higher Education Association Special Initiative	Page 5
VI.	Technical Assistance	Page 6
VII.	Additional Information	Page 6
VIII.	Future Activities	Page 6

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2007 Awards

Appendix B - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2008 Awards

Appendix C - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2009 Awards

Appendix D -Summary of the Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees

Appendix E - Summary of Victim Characteristics of Victims Served (January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009)

Appendix F - Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus, Fiscal Year 2010 Awards

I. <u>Introduction</u>

The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) established the Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus Program (Campus Program) in accordance with the Higher Education Amendments of 1998. Under this grant program, institutions of higher education may use funds for enhancing victim services and developing programs to prevent violent crimes against women on campuses, including domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

The statutory provisions of Section 826(d) (3) of the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 require the Attorney General to submit an annual report to the committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate responsible for issues relating to higher education and crime. The report must address the activities of grantees receiving federal funds under the Campus Program, information about the effectiveness of grant-funded programs and include a summary of persons served. Specifically, the Attorney General must report to Congress on the number of grants and the amount of funds distributed; a summary of the purposes for which the grants were provided and an evaluation of the progress made under the grants; a statistical summary of the persons served, detailing the nature of victimization, and providing data on age, sex, race, ethnicity, language, disability, relationship to offender, geographic distribution, and type of campus; and an evaluation of the effectiveness of programs funded. Campus Program grantees whose activities are described in this report received funding under solicitations from FY2007-FY2009.

II. Award Process

The institutions of higher education that received awards in Federal Fiscal Year 2009 were geographically diverse and distributed between private and public institutions, as required by the Higher Education Amendments Act of 1998. Campuses receiving funds are located in rural, urban, and suburban communities with a broad range of student population size. These applications were reviewed and scored by external peer review panels comprised of campusbased experts, including campus law enforcement officers, victim advocates, faculty, researchers, and administrators with VAWA grant program expertise. The OVW Director made final funding decisions.

Congress appropriated \$9,500,000 for the Federal Fiscal Year 2009 Campus Program. OVW received 61 applications requesting \$18,275,820.66 in funds. OVW set aside \$722,000 for technical assistance, \$283,623.31 for peer review and reimbursable agreements, and added carry forward funds unspent from previous year's allocation in the amount of \$2,411,552.23 to the total amount available for grants. Of the 61 applications received, 32 were recommended for funding, totaling approximately \$10,015,297.00. This represented funding for 27 new grants and 5 continuation grants. The enclosed chart (Appendix C) lists each of the institutions that received awards and the award amounts for the Federal Fiscal Year 2009 grants.

III. Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees

The Higher Education Amendments Act of 1998 identifies specific statutory purpose areas for the Campus Program. Based on these purpose areas, OVW awarded grants to colleges and universities to establish coordinated campus and community-based responses to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking and to improve coordination between campus entities, local criminal justice agencies, and nonprofit, non-governmental victim services agencies. Grant funds also sustain programs designed to establish and enhance support services for victims on campus. Institutions received funds to create and revise policies and protocols regarding violence against women. For example, funds supported the establishment of formal procedures for responding to victims' reports of sexual assault. Grants were also awarded to campuses seeking to develop comprehensive education programs for the prevention of violent crimes against women and the development and expansion of student codes of conduct. Appendix D details the statutory purpose areas addressed by activities supported with Campus Program funds from July 1 through December 31, 2009.

IV. Campus Program Grantees' Activities

Working in collaboration with campus and community-based victim advocacy organizations, the Campus Program grantees have developed mandatory prevention and education programs about violence against women for incoming students. A reported 28,839 incoming students were educated with Campus Program funds from January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009. A reported 77,578 incoming students were educated with Campus Program funds from July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009. Campus Program funds supported a wide range of programs for incoming students including prevention and education program events addressing topics such as sexual assault prevention; dating violence prevention; domestic violence prevention; stalking prevention; and, overviews of, dynamics of, and services for dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Campuses used grant funds to create curricula for training programs, to hire education coordinators, and to train approximately 2,834 volunteer peer educators to implement the training. From January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009, Campus Program funds supported 75 full-time employees including 26 program coordinators, 10 victim advocates, 10 administrators, and 18 trainers/educators. From July 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009, Campus Program funds supported 54 full-time employees including 14 program coordinators, 9 victim advocates, 9 administrators, and 12 trainers/educators.

Under the minimum requirements for the Campus Program, grantees must train campus law enforcement or public safety personnel to respond effectively in domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking cases. They are also required to strengthen training programs for members of campus disciplinary boards to respond effectively to charges of violence against women. Grantees are not required to use federal funds for this training and must funding from other sources. Grantees have been encouraged to include information about the following in their training curricula: investigating violent crimes against women, informing

victims about campus and community resources, conducting safety planning with victims, enforcing orders of protection, making primary aggressor determinations, understanding the dynamics of violence against women, and working with local law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. An estimated 93 campus law enforcement officials were trained with Campus Program funds and another 55 trained with funding from another source from January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009. An estimated 43 campus judicial/disciplinary board members were trained with Campus Program funds and another 31 trained with funding from another source from January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009. An estimated 37 campus law enforcement officials were trained with Campus Program funds and another 46 trained with funding from another source from July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009. An estimated 22 campus judicial/disciplinary board members were trained with Campus Program funds and another 44 trained with funding from another source from July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009. The most frequent training topics included: sexual assault overview, dynamics and services; dating violence overview, dynamics and services; stalking overview, dynamics, and services; campus police/security response; domestic violence overview, dynamics, and services; drug facilitated sexual assault; disciplinary/judicial board response; coordinated community response; and confidentiality.

Campus Program funds have supported campus education projects including Sexual Assault Awareness Month, "tabling" at public events, "Take Back the Night" marches, media campaigns, and "Clothesline Projects." Grantees also used Campus Program funds to develop, install, and expand data collection and communication systems to enhance victim safety.

From January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009, 957 victims were provided services supported by Campus Program funds and 11 victims were partially served ^{1.} From July 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009, 809 victims were served and 7 were partially served. Victims received victim advocacy services (actions designed to help the victim/survivor obtain needed support, resources, or services such as employment, health care, and victim compensation), crisis intervention, response to hotline calls, support group/counseling services, and legal advocacy/court accompaniment. Additional information on the victims served with Campus Program funds during 2009 is contained in Appendix E.

The number of victims served is far greater than the number of crimes reported by victims. Campuses reported that 504 offenses of domestic violence/dating violence, sexual assault and stalking were reported to campus security authorities during the time period of January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009, with 152 offenses resulting in criminal charges being filed in the local jurisdiction and 116 offenses resulting in campus disciplinary or judicial board actions. From July 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009, campuses reported that 587 offenses of domestic violence/dating violence, sexual assault and stalking were reported, with 194 offenses

¹ Throughout this report, many aggregate numbers are reported in ranges because with certain categories such as victims served or not served, an individual victim may be reported in more than one semiannual grant reporting period. Grantees are asked to provide an unduplicated count of victims and services during each reporting period; however, because of confidentiality and other recordkeeping considerations, there is no way to determine if an individual is receiving services in more than one grant reporting period.

resulting in criminal charges being filed in the local jurisdiction and 154 offenses resulting in campus disciplinary or judicial board actions.

Grantees report that programs and services supported by funds from the Campus Program have had a positive impact on their campuses:

The Campus Program funding has allowed Jefferson College of Health Sciences to fund a full-time VAW program coordinator. . . . With this funding, the program coordinator chairs the Violence Prevention Task Force and coordinates programming and services with our partners. The program coordinator has developed and revised several program items, including the student handbook, new student orientation and the VAW web page. The funding will allow the program coordinator to continue to develop, implement and facilitate campus-wide projects to meet the goals of the comprehensive program and to provide further direct services to victims/survivors.

- Jefferson College of Health Sciences, Virginia

The Campus Program has created a diverse, specific, purposeful, and intentional program on our campus designed to promote and implement prevention of sexual violence from numerous perspectives. . . . Freshmen and incoming students are presented with valuable education, awareness, and training in various environments during their initial visits (preregistration), freshmen orientation week, and during freshman orientation classes.

Norfolk State University, Virginia

Prior to the grant there were no centralized services for victims of interpersonal violence and no consistent tri-institutional efforts for education and awareness on the issue. The Phoenix Center at Auraria is able to act as a clearinghouse for information, programming, and victim services that never before existed. It is breaking new ground and is working to create an expectation for these services among the various campus constituents.

University of Colorado at Denver

IV. Flagship Initiative and Higher Education Association Special Initiative

The Flagship Initiative

In fiscal year 2007, OVW introduced a new component to the campus program called the "Flagship Initiative." This new initiative was constructed to maximize the benefits of successful implementation of campus program grants and impact entire state university systems while further leveraging federal dollars. The Flagship Initiative consists of two or more institutions of higher education that share and are accountable to a common legislature, governing board, Board of Regents, or system with enforcement capabilities coming together to implement a project. One institution is designated as the principal flagship institution. The flagship institution must be able to demonstrate competence and effective implementation of prior Campus Program awards for at least two non-consecutive grant periods or four years. The principal institution will utilize key practices, policies, project activities, and products already in existence to lead other schools within their system to implement and incorporate promising practices onto their respective campuses. Four flagship institutions were awarded, collectively impacting 31 campuses, 374, 975 students and over 179,625 faculty and staff. The four Flagship projects are: The University of Northern Iowa, the University of Puerto Rico at Humacao, East Central University of Oklahoma, and the University of California at Davis.

The Flagship Initiative recipients coordinate a model three-year flagship program designed to improve and strengthen the response to campus-based domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking throughout the campuses. Each project must institute the four minimum requirements of the program across all the campuses. The flagship recipients must: 1) provide prevention education on violence against women for all incoming students, 2) train campus law enforcement or security staff on appropriate responses to violence against women, 3) train members of campus judicial or disciplinary boards on the unique dynamics of violence against women, and 4) create a coordinated community response to violence against women.

Higher Education Association Special Initiative

The Higher Education Association Special Initiative requires participants to develop effective practices for responding to and preventing violence against women on campus using the membership of the associations to develop, implement, and disseminate promising models. Objectives of the initiative include development of coordinated campus community responses, prevention and education programs for incoming students, and training programs for campus police and judicial disciplinary boards. Tougaloo College was selected to receive funds under this initiative. Tougaloo is a private Historically Black College which partnered with the United Negro College Fund Association Special Projects and its 39 member institutions to implement this initiative. Tougaloo College serves as the lead institution, and conducts the trainings for the member institutions.

VI. Technical Assistance

In December 1999, OVW entered into a cooperative agreement with the California Coalition

Against Sexual Assault (CALCASA) to provide technical assistance to recipients of grants under the Campus Program. As part of the technical assistance provided by CALCASA, the campus program coordinates and conducts semi-annual technical assistance institutes. These institutes are hosted by a campus grantee and attended by a mandatory multi-disciplinary team of four individuals from each campus grantee, including the project director, a campus law enforcement representative, a judicial affairs representative, and a fourth person representing an internal/external partner such as an administrator, evaluator, student, community-based victim services agency member, local law enforcement officer, or prosecutor. In 2009, CALCASA held two institutes with more than 400 participants at each institute.

The CALCASA institutes provided training from experts in the field on sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking. Topics included "social networking, cyberstalking, victim response and assessment, understanding dynamics of assaults, providing services for underserved communities, bystander intervention and false reporting mythology. Pre-institutes held prior to the two day institutes were focused on the topics of "Forensic Compliance" and "Understanding Title IX, Clery Act and Office of Civil Rights guidelines" for enhancing responses to violence against women on college campuses. CALCASA also provided on-going technical assistance to grantees utilizing listservs, blogs, sites visits, one-on-one support, expert trainings, and monthly webinars focused on issues campuses were facing. Topics for technical assistance were identified through webinar evaluations and site visits to campuses.

VII. Additional Information

Section 485(f) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 requires institutions of higher education receiving federal student financial aid funds to submit an annual report to the Department of Education on the number and types of crimes occurring on and near campuses. Section 826(d) (3) (D) of the Higher Education Amendments Act of 1998 requires information from the Department of Education crime reports to be included in the annual report to Congress on the Campus Program. Information concerning the campus crime statistics for the individual campuses receiving 2009 Campus Program grants can be found at the Department of Education website: http://ope.ed.gov/security (accessed December 7, 2011).

VIII. Future Activities

Campus Program grantees must create a coordinated community response to violence against women on campuses and should adopt policies and protocols that treat domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking as serious criminal offenses and to develop victim services and programs that make victim safety, offender accountability, and prevention of such crimes a high priority. Through their policies, protocols, and actions, colleges and universities can demonstrate to every student that violence against women in any form will not be tolerated and that sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking are crimes with serious consequences. The success of the Campus Program rests on the grantees' ability to

address the issues that are of greatest concern on their own campuses. In FY2010, OVW made awards to 23 institutions of higher education under the statutory criteria of VAWA 2005. (See Appendix F). The activities of these grantees will be addressed in future reports to Congress.

APPENDIX A

Appendix A Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus Fiscal Year 2007 Awards²

Grantee	State / Territory	Туре	Amount
University of California-Davis	California	Public	\$999,369
University of Guam	Guam	Public	\$300,000
University of Northern Iowa	Iowa	Public	\$999,909
St. Cloud State	Minnesota	Public	\$299,500
University of Minnesota	Minnesota	Public	\$200,000
The Curators of the University of Missouri	Missouri	Public	\$296,552
University of Missouri, Kansas City	Missouri	Public	\$289,817
Elizabeth City State University	e North Carolina Public		\$279,293
United Tribes Technical College			\$299,512
St. Lawrence University	New York	Private	\$290,000
The College of Saint Rose	New York	Private	\$448,781
East Central University			\$999,999
University of Puerto Rico Humacao	Puerto Rico	Public	\$1,000,000
University of Sioux South Dakota Falls		Private	\$300,000

²These grant award amounts were provided by the Office of Justice Programs' Grants Management System.

University of South Dakota	South Dakota	Public	\$290,000
Pacific Lutheran University	Washington	Private	\$289,993
West Virginia State University	West Virginia	Public	\$282,886

APPENDIX B

Appendix B Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus Fiscal Year 2008 Awards

_		_		
Grantee	State / Territory	Туре	Amount	
Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University	California	Private	\$299,096	
Cal Poly Pomona Foundation, Inc.	California	Private	\$300,000	
The CSU, Chico Research Foundation	California	Public	\$471,529	
University of Southern California	California	Public	\$499,999 \$500,000	
Regents of the Univ. of Colorado, Univ. of Colorado Denver	Colorado	Public	\$500,000	
University of Hawaii	Hawaii	Public	\$249,994	
University of Kentucky Research Foundation	Kentucky	Public	\$178,709	
Salisbury University	Maryland	Public	\$299,982	
Central Michigan University	Michigan	Public	\$298,159	
Michigan State University	Michigan	Public	\$224,987	
Regents of the University of Michigan	Michigan	Public	\$274,954	
Southeast Missouri State University	Missouri	Public	\$299,939	
Jackson State Mississippi University		Public	\$300,000	
The Research New York Foundation of SUNY Albany		Private	\$299,875	
John Carroll University	Ohio	Private	\$298,996	

East Central University	Oklahoma	Public	\$274,968
The Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Private	\$275,000
Texas Women's University	Texas	Public	\$299,896
Carilion Medical Center dba Jefferson College of Health Sciences	Virginia	Private	\$291,639
Norfolk State University	Virginia	Public	\$300,000
The Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System	Wisconsin	Public	\$300,000

APPENDIX C

Appendix C Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus Fiscal Year 2009 Awards

Grantee	State / Territory	Туре	Amount
Arizona Western College	Arizona	Public	\$499,976
Regents of the University of California	California	Public	\$299,756
Regents of the University of California, U.C. San Diego	California	Public	\$299,911
Howard University	District of Columbia	Private	\$434,223
Augusta State University	Georgia	Public	\$300,000
Loyola University Chicago	Illinois	Private	\$300,000
University of Illinois at Chicago	Illinois	Public	\$275,000
Saint Mary's College	Indiana	Private	\$299,893
Eastern Kentucky University	Kentucky	Public	\$299,989
University of Louisiana at Monroe	Louisiana	Public	\$299,995
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	Massachusetts	Private	\$293,022
Northeastern University	Massachusetts	Private	\$299,884
Trustees of Clark University	Massachusetts	Private	\$296,988
University of Maryland	Maryland	Public	\$500,000
University of Southern Maine	Maine	Public	\$300,000
University of Mississippi	Mississippi	Public	\$299,986

North Carolina Central University	North Carolina	Public	\$299,833.15
North Carolina State University	North Carolina	Public	\$294,943
University of North Carolina, Wilmington	North Carolina	Public	\$299,968
Bergen Community College	New Jersey	Public	\$300,000
New Mexico Highlands University	New Mexico	Public	\$299,192
Board of Regents, NSHE, obo University of Nevada Las Vegas	Nevada	Public	\$299,960
Research Foundation of SUNY	New York	Public	\$298,276
Research Foundation of SUNY/Buffalo State College	New York	Public	\$300,000
Ohio University	Ohio	Public	\$300,000
IUP Research Institute	Pennsylvania	Public	\$274,886
Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Public	\$299,545
Millersville University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Public	\$299,371
Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Public	\$251,222
Universidad del Este	Puerto Rico	Private	\$299,984
The University of Tennessee Health Science Center	Tennessee	Public	\$299,495
Washington State University	Washington	Public	\$299,998

APPENDIX D

Appendix D

Summary of the Statutory Purpose Areas Addressed by Campus Program Grantees (July 1, 2009 -December 31, 2009 Reporting Period)

Statutory Purpose Areas	Number of Campus Program Grantees
To provide personnel, training, technical assistance, data collection, and other equipment with respect to the increased apprehension, investigation, and adjudication of people committing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking on campus	45
To develop and implement campus policies, protocols, and services that more effectively identify and respond to the crimes of domestic violence, dating, violence, sexual assault and stalking, and to train campus administrators, campus security personnel, and personnel serving on campus disciplinary boards on such policies, protocols, and services	56
To implement and operate education programs for the prevention of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking	59
To develop, enlarge, or strengthen victim services programs on campuses of institutions involved, including programs providing legal, medical, or psychological counseling, for victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and to improve delivery of victim assistance on campus	55
To create, disseminate, or otherwise provide assistance and information about victims' options on and off campus to bring disciplinary or other legal action, including assistance to victims in immigration matters	47
To develop, install, or expand data collection	

and communication systems, including computerized systems, linking campus security to local law enforcement for the purpose of identifying and tracking arrests, protection orders, violations of protection orders, prosecutions, and convictions with respect to the crimes of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking on campus	9
To provide capital improvements (including improved lighting and communications facilities, but not including the construction of buildings) on campuses to address the crimes of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking	7
To support improved coordination among campus administrators, campus security personnel and local law enforcement to reduce domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking on campus	61

APPENDIX E

Appendix E Summary of Victim Characteristics

Victims Served through Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus (January 1 through June 30, 2009 and July 1 through December 31, 2009)

Number of Victims Seeking Services (01/01/09-06/30/09): 970 victims

Total Number of Victims Served: 957 victims

Total Number of Victims Partially Served:3: 11 victims

Total Number of Victims Who Could Not Be Served: 2 victims

Nature of Victimization	Domestic Violence/Dating Violence	Sexual Assault	Stalking
Number of Victims Served and Partially Served	408	405	155

Victims	Female	Male	Unknown
Number of Victims Served and Partially Served	889	76	3

³ "Partially Served" victims are those victims who received some service(s), but not all of the services they needed, if those services were the types of services that were provided under the Campus Program grant.

Age of Victims	0-17	18-24	25-59	60+	Unknown
	5	506	212	7	238
Number of Victims					
Served and Partially Served					

Relationship to Offender	Sexual Assault Victims	Domestic Violence Victims	Stalking Victims
Current or Former Spouse or Intimate Partner	83	250	54
Other Family or Household Member (e.g., in-law, grandparent, etc.	35	45	5
Acquaintance (e.g., friend, neighbor, coworker, schoolmate, professor, etc.)	210	13	49
Dating Relationship	42	92	49
Stranger	36	5	18
Relationship Unknown/Other	26	16	7
TOTAL	432	421	182

Race/Ethnicity of Victims

(*some victims report more than one ethnicity)

	1
Black or African American	90
American Indian and Alaska Native	9
Asian	49
Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander	9
Hispanic or Latino	67
White	459
Unknown	288

Other Demographics of Victims

Victims with disabilities	47
Victims with limited English proficiency	20
Immigrants/refugees/asylum seekers	20
Victims in rural areas	99

Number of Victims Reporting Crimes

Where Crime Occurred	Campus police/Security	Community Law Enforcement
On-campus	103	23
Off-campus	35	101

.....

Number of Victims Seeking Services (07/1/09-12/31/09): 817 victims

Total Number of Victims served: 809 victims

Total Number of Victims partially served: 7 victims

Total Number of Victims who could not be served: 1 victim

Nature of Victimization	Domestic Violence/ Dating Violence	Sexual Assault	Stalking
Number of Victims Served and Partially Served	374	329	113

Victims	Female	Male	Unknown
Number of Victims Served and Partially Served	759	54	3

Age of Victims	0-17	18-24	25-59	60+	Unknown
Number of Victims Served and Partially Served	15	528	221	5	47

Relationship to Offender	Sexual Assault Victims	Domestic Violence/ DatingViolence Victims	Stalking Victims
Current or Former Spouse or Intimate Partner	40	247	59
Other Family or Household Member (e.g., in-law, grandparent, etc.	28	31	5
Acquaintance (e.g., friend, neighbor, coworker, schoolmate, professor, etc.)	185	11	36
Current or Former Dating Relationship	62	96	23
Stranger	16	0	10
Relationship Unknown/Other	28	4	9
TOTAL	359	389	142

(*some victims report more than one ethnicity)

Black or African American	87
American Indian and Alaska Native	
	17
Asian	51
Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander	0
Hispanic or Latino	39
White	381
Unknown	245

Other Demographics of Victims

Victims with disabilities	38
Victims with limited English proficiency	18
Immigrants/refugees/asylum seekers	14
Victims in rural areas	35

Number of Victims Reporting Crimes

Where Crime Occurred	Campus police/Security	Community Law Enforcement
On-campus	67	23
Off Campus	23	104

(Please note that the information in this Appendix has been collected from Semi-annual Progress Reports covering the six-month periods of January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2009 and July 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009 submitted by Campus Program grantees; also the numbers in the columns do not always match as some progress reports do not contain complete information for each question about victims.)

APPENDIX F

Appendix F Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus Fiscal Year 2010 Awards

Grantee	State / Territory	Туре	Amount
Regents of the University of Colorado/University of Colorado at Colorado Springs	СО	Public	\$499,645
Howard University	DC	Private	\$300,000
University of Guam	Guam	Public	\$300,000
Board of Trustees of Western Illinois University	IL	Public	\$300,000
Northern Illinois University	IL	Public	\$299,724
DePauw University	IN	Private	\$299,006
Fitchburg State University	MA	Public	\$300,000
Grand Valley State University	MI	Public	\$265,129
University of Missouri-Kansas City	MO	Public	\$449,975
Mississippi State University	MS	Public	\$300,000
Johnson C. Smith University	NC	Private	\$299,270
United Tribes Technical College	ND	Tribal	\$245,000
William Paterson University	NJ	Public	\$299,464
Marietta College	ОН	Private	\$300,000
Western Oregon University	OR	Public	\$299,992
Dickinson College	PA	Private	\$298,037
Winthrop University	SC	Public	\$299,209
Texas A&M	TX	Public	\$282,478

University- Commerce				
University of Vermont and State Agricultural College	VT	Public	\$108,955	
Pacific Lutheran University	WA	Private	\$249,677	