2705 Mix 20 PH 12: 51 DEBRA WONG YANG United States Attorney STEVEN D. CLYMER Special Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division 1800 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-5010 ||Facsimile: (213) 894-6436 JOSHUA R. HOCHBERG Chief, Fraud Section MARK F. MENDELSOHN Deputy Chief, Fraud Section ADRIAN D. MEBANE Trial Attorney Criminal Division United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 16 PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DPC (TIANJIN) CO. 17 18 DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., 19 Defendant. 20 21 l. This constitutes the plea agreement ("Agreement") 22 between DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., formerly Tianjin Depu (DPC) 23 Biotechnological and Medical Products, Inc.("DPC TIANJIN" or 24 "defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the 25 Central District of California ("the USAO") and the United States 26 Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section ("the 27 Fraud Section"), in <u>United States v. DPC TIANJIN</u>, a prosecution 28 resulting from an investigation of illegal payments by defendant

DPC TIANJIN to doctors and laboratory personnel employed by government-owned hospitals in the People's Republic of China to obtain or retain business in violation of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1, et seq.

2

3

4

5

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

23

24

27

- This Agreement is limited to the USAO and the Fraud 2. Section, and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities. Agreement does not bind any other component of the Department of 9 | Justice, including the Tax Division. However, the USAO and the ||Fraud Section will bring this Agreement and the cooperation of both DPC TIANJIN and its parent Diagnostic Products Corporation ("DPC") to the attention of other prosecuting authorities or other agencies, if requested.
 - This Agreement contemplates the resolution of the criminal investigation now pending in the USAO and the Fraud Section against DPC TIANJIN, its present or past subsidiaries, divisions, parent, and affiliates for any foreign payments or the accounting thereof disclosed to the USAO or the Fraud Section as of the date of this Agreement, but specifically excluding any such conduct not disclosed to the USAO or the Fraud Section as of that date or any conduct occurring after that date. Agreement will not close or preclude the investigation or prosecution of any natural persons, including any officers, directors, employees, stockholders, or agents of DPC TIANJIN or any such natural persons within any divisions, subsidiaries, or affiliates of DPC TIANJIN who may have been involved in any of the matters set forth in the Information or in any other matters.

This Agreement is entered pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

PLEA

3

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Defendant gives up the right to indictment by a grand jury and agrees to plead guilty to a one-count Information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit 1, or a substantially similar form, charging DPC TIANJIN with violating the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1.
- Defendant agrees that this Agreement will be executed by an authorized corporate representative. Defendant further agrees that a Resolution duly adopted by the Board of Directors 12 of DPC TIANJIN, in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit 13 $\|3$, or in a substantially similar form, represents that the signatures on this Agreement by DPC TIANJIN and its counsel are authorized by DPC TIANJIN's Board of Directors.
- Defendant agrees that the statute of limitations with 17 | respect to the criminal conduct described in this Agreement and the Information will be tolled during the time period from the execution of this Agreement until ninety (90) days after the sentencing of defendant.
- If the Court does not accept this Agreement pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(c), then neither party will be bound by this Agreement and it will be deemed null 24 and void. As a result, among other things, the USAO and the Fraud Section will be free to pursue a grand jury investigation 26 and whatever prosecution they deem appropriate against defendant, 27 |any successors, and any of its present or past subsidiaries, 28 divisions, its parent, or affiliates for any foreign payments or

the accounting thereof. In addition, defendant, its subsidiaries, its parent, and any divisions, or affiliates will 3 be free to assert any and all substantive and procedural defenses to any grand jury investigation or prosecution. NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

In order for defendant to be guilty of violating Title 9. 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-1, as charged in the Information, the following must be true:

That the defendant is a corporation organized One: under the laws of a foreign country, or a director, officer, director, employee, or agent thereof, or a stockholder acting on a domestic concern's behalf;

Two: That the defendant acted corruptly; That the defendant, while in the United States, Three: made use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce or took any other act in furtherance of an unlawful act under

this statute;

That the defendant offered, paid, promised to pay, or authorized the payment of any money or of anything of value;

Five: That the payment was to a foreign public official; That the payment was for one of four purposes: Six:

to influence any act or decision of the foreign public official;

26 27

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Four:

8

9

10

11

12 13

15

16

21

23

24 25

26

27

- to induce the foreign public official to do or omit to do any act in violation of that official's lawful duty;
- to obtain an improper advantage; or
- to induce that foreign public official to use his or her influence with a foreign government or instrumentality thereof to affect or influence any act or decision of such government or instrumentality; and

That the payment was made to assist the defendant Seven: in obtaining or retaining business for or with, or directing business to, any person.

Defendant admits that it is, in fact, guilty of this offense as described in the Information.

PENALTIES

The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can ∥impose for a violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section | 78dd-1 is: a fine of \$2,000,000 or twice the gross gain or gross. ||loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest, 15 U.S.C. 20 \$ 78dd-3(e), 18 U.S.C. § 3571(d); five years probation, 18 \parallel U.S.C. § 3561(c)(1); and a mandatory special assessment of \$400, 22 | 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(B).

FACTUAL BASIS

Defendant agrees and stipulates that the factual allegations set forth in the Information are true and correct and accurately reflect defendant's criminal conduct. The parties further stipulate and agree to the Statement of Facts attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 2.

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

By pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

20

21

- The right to persist in a plea of not guilty. a)
- The right to a speedy and public trial by jury. b)
- The right to the assistance of counsel at trial. C)
- The right to be presumed innocent and to have the d) burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant quilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses e) lagainst defendant.
- The right, if defendant wished, to testify on f) defendant's own behalf and present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to call witnesses and to subpoena 15 those witnesses to testify.
- 13. By pleading guilty, defendant also gives up any and all 17 ||rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been 19 | filed or could be filed.

SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

14. Fine: Subject to the Court's approval of this 22 |Agreement, the parties agree, pursuant to Federal Rule of 23 Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(c), that defendant's parent in the 24 | United States shall pay \$2,000,000 within ten (10) business days 25 |of the time of sentencing. Payment shall be made in the form of 26 a cashier's check made payable to the Clerk, U.S. District Court. 27 | The parties request that defendant's judgment and commitment 28 order reflect the following:

The defendant shall cause payment of a criminal fine of \$2,000,000 to be made to the Clerk, U.S. District Court. Interest on said fine is waived provided the fine is paid within ten (10) business days of the time of sentencing.

- 15. The parties agree not to seek any adjustments to, or departures from, the agreed upon payment of \$2,000,000 as set forth herein.
- 16. Organizational Probation: The parties agree that organizational probation need not be ordered in this case, in view of DPC TIANJIN's agreement to retain an independent compliance monitor for a period of 36 months as discussed below in the "FCPA Compliance Program and Monitor" section.
- 17. Community Service: The parties agree that community service need not be ordered in this case.
- 18. Forfeiture: The parties agree that forfeiture need not be ordered in this case.
- 19. Special Assessment: Defendant agrees to pay the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Central District of California within ten (10) business days of the time of sentencing the mandatory special assessment of \$400.
- 20. Waiver of Presentence Report: DPC TIANJIN intends, with the permission of the Court, to waive the requirement for a presentence report pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32(b)(1)(A), based on a finding by the Court that the record contains information sufficient to enable the Court to meaningfully exercise its sentencing power. The USAO and the Fraud Section intend to take no position with respect to this issue. However, the parties agree that in the event the Court

1 orders the preparation of a presentence report prior to sentencing, such order will not affect the agreements set forth herein.

Entry of Guilty Pleas and Sentencing: The defendant intends to ask the Court's permission to combine the entry of the 6 pleas and sentencing into one hearing, and the USAO and the Fraud Section intend to take no position with respect to this request. However, the parties agree that in the event the Court orders that the entry of the guilty pleas and sentencing hearing occur at separate hearings, such an order will not affect the agreements set forth herein.

CALCULATION OF FINE

- 22. The agreement by the USAO and the Fraud Section to the 14 | \$2,000,000 fine is based upon the following factors:
- By entering and fulfilling the obligations under 16 this Agreement, defendant DPC TIANJIN demonstrates recognition and affirmative acceptance of responsibility for its criminal |conduct. The plea underlying this Agreement reflects the voluntary disclosure of the relevant conduct made by DPC, DPC TIANJIN's parent company, to the Fraud Section; DPC's agreement to disgorgement and prejudgment interest in the amount of \$2,788,622 in connection with a Cease and Desist Order to be 23 entered between the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and DPC; and its commitment to adopt, maintain, and ∥independently review the remedial measures set forth herein. At the time of the disclosure, the conduct was unknown to the Fraud Section and the USAO.

27

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

15

18

21

22

24

- DPC voluntarily implemented certain additional b. compliance policies and procedures following its discovery of the 3 | facts contained in the Information. By implementing an effective compliance program under this Agreement and in connection with the Cease and Desist Order to be entered between the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and DPC, the company will implement additional policies and remedy past procedures in order to detect and prevent any further similar illegal conduct.
 - \$2,000,000 is the statutory maximum fine for a single-count violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-1.

CONSIDERATION BY THE OFFICE

In exchange for the corporate guilty plea of defendant DPC TIANJIN and the complete fulfillment of all its obligations under this Agreement, the USAO and the Fraud Section agree not to file additional criminal charges against DPC TIANJIN, any successors, or any of its present and former subsidiaries, divisions, parent, or affiliates, for any foreign payments or the accounting thereof disclosed to the USAO or the Fraud Section as of the date of this Agreement.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

24. Defendant agrees:

1

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

- To plead quilty as set forth in this Agreement. a)
- To not knowingly and willfully fail to abide by b) all sentencing stipulations contained in this Agreement.
- To not knowingly and willfully fail to: (i) appear as ordered for all court appearances, and (ii) obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.

- To not commit any crime. d)
- To not knowingly and willfully fail to be truthful e) at all times with the Court.
 - To pay the applicable fine and special assessment. f)
- To retain and arrange for payment by itself or its a) parent company in the United States for an independent compliance expert, as described more fully in the FCPA Compliance Program and Monitor section below.
- Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO and the Fraud Section, and, as directed by the USAO and the Fraud Section, with any other federal, state, local or foreign 12 | law enforcement agency in connection with the matters described in the Information. This cooperation requires defendant to:
 - Provide full disclosure of all information known to defendant or its outside counsel as of the date of this Agreement of payments to foreign officials and the accounting thereof.
 - b) Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to such payments about which the USAO or the Fraud Section, or their designee, inquires.
 - Recommend orally and in writing that all DPC TIANJIN officers, directors, employees, and agents cooperate fully with any investigation or prosecution conducted by the USAO or the Fraud Section relating to such payments, including appearing for interviews and testimony in the United States.
 - d) Provide access to copies of original documents and records relating to such payments.

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Provide access to defendant's outside accounting e) consultants as well as the records, reports, and documents of those outside accounting consultants relating to such payments disclosed to the USAO or the Fraud Section as of the date of this Agreement.

1

5

6

9

11

12

13 |

15

17

18 |

21

22

26

- Provide all memoranda of interviews compiled and f) prepared by DPC's and DPC TIANJIN's counsel, outside counsel, consultants, accountants or other agents of interviews with individuals relating to such payments disclosed to the USAO or the Fraud Section as of the date of this Agreement.
- Provide full disclosure to appropriate law g) enforcement agencies in the People's Republic of China and to cooperate fully with those agencies with respect to the conduct 14 | in the People's Republic of China disclosed to the USAO or the Fraud Section as of the date of this Agreement, as directed by 16 the Department. DPC TIANJIN will disclose to the Fraud Section the extent to which it has already disclosed these facts and remediated violations of Chinese law with the Government of the 19 | People's Republic of China so the Fraud Section can determine 20 whether it's disclosure is adequate.

FCPA COMPLIANCE PROGRAM AND MONITOR

- The obligation of DPC TIANJIN referenced in paragraph 23 ||24(g) above to retain and arrange for payment for a Monitor means 24 | that DPC TIANJIN shall undertake all of the duties imposed upon 25 it in this section.
- DPC TIANJIN agrees for a period of at least 36 months 27. 27 from May 19, 2005, it will retain and pay for an outside, 28 | independent compliance expert (the "Monitor") (who may be an

|individual, partnership or other entity, including outside (counsel), selected and paid for by DPC TIANJIN and acceptable to the Fraud Section. It shall be a condition of the Monitor's retention that the Monitor is independent of DPC TIANJIN and that no attorney-client relationship shall be formed between them. DPC TIANJIN, the Monitor, or any other party or tribunal asserts or determines that communications between the Monitor and DPC TIANJIN are protected by the attorney-client privilege or that documents created or reviewed by DPC TIANJIN or the Monitor in connection with the Monitor's work are protected by the work product doctrine, then DPC TIANJIN shall waive only as to the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ"), the USAO, and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") any protections afforded to such communications and documents concerning the Monitor's work. Any revocation of these waivers shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. The sharing of such communications by the Monitor with the DOJ and the SEC is not intended to constitute a waiver of any privilege under any federal or state law that would shield from disclosure to any other third party any such communications.

28. The Monitor shall:

2

3

5

6

10

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- (a) monitor DPC TIANJIN's compliance with this Agreement;
- (b) monitor DPC TIANJIN's implementation of and adherence to policies and procedures relating to FCPA compliance;
- (c) ensure that the policies and procedures are appropriately designed to accomplish their goals;

- (d) report to the Department, in coordination with the SEC, on at least a semi-annual basis and between thirty (30) and forty-five (45) calendar days before the end of the Monitor's term, as to DPC TIANJIN's compliance with this Agreement; and
- (e) coordinate with the SEC and provide information about DPC TIANJIN as requested by that agency.
- 29. DPC TIANJIN agrees that the Monitor may disclose its reports to the SEC and, as directed by the Fraud Section, to any other federal, state or foreign law enforcement or regulatory agency in furtherance of an investigation of any matters related to payments to foreign officials and any matters relating to any other transaction that has been or is discovered by, or brought to the attention of, the Fraud Section or the SEC in connection with the Fraud Section's investigation of DPC TIANJIN. During the Monitor's term, no amendments or changes will be made to the policies and procedures relating to FCPA compliance policies without the prior approval of the Monitor.
- 30. DPC TIANJIN agrees that it hereafter will maintain an effective compliance and ethics program designed to detect and prevent violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and of other applicable foreign bribery laws. DPC TIANJIN's program shall include, at a minimum, the following components:
 - a) A clearly articulated corporate policy against violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other applicable anti-bribery laws and the establishment of compliance standards and procedures to be followed by its officers, directors, employees,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- agents, consultants, joint ventures, distributors, and sub-contractors that are reasonably capable of reducing the prospect of violative conduct;
- The assignment to one or more senior DPC TIANJIN b) corporate officials of responsibility for oversight of compliance with policies, standards, and procedures established pursuant to the Agreement between the Fraud Section, the USAO and DPC TIANJIN, dated May 19, 2005. Such officials shall have the authority and responsibility to implement and utilize monitoring and auditing systems reasonably designed to detect criminal conduct by the company's employees and other agents, including, where appropriate, the retention of outside counsel and independent auditors to conduct investigations and audits. In addition, such officials shall be charged with proposing any necessary modifications to the compliance program to respond to detected violations and to prevent further similar violations, subject to approval by the Monitor, as otherwise required herein;
- Clearly articulated corporate procedures to ensure that DPC TIANJIN exercises due care to assure that substantial discretionary authority is not delegated to individuals whom the defendant knows, or should know through the exercise of due diligence, have a propensity to engage in illegal activities;
- d) Clearly articulated corporate procedures to assure that all necessary and prudent precautions are taken to

ensure that DPC TIANJIN has formed business relationships with reputable and qualified agents, consultants and other representatives for purposes of business development and lobbying in foreign jurisdictions and with reputable and qualified subcontractors for projects in which foreign governments or public international organizations, or instrumentalities thereof, are the ultimate customers or beneficiaries. Such policy shall require that evidence of such a "due diligence" inquiry be maintained in DPC TIANJIN's files;

- e) The implementation of appropriate disciplinary mechanisms, including as appropriate, discipline of individuals responsible for the failure to detect a violation of the law or of compliance with DPC TIANJIN's policies, standards, and procedures;
- f) The establishment of a reporting system by which officers, employees, agents, consultants, and other representatives, as well as sub-contractors, may report suspected criminal conduct without fear of retribution or going through the chain of command or reporting the same to the employee's, agent's, representative's, or sub-contractor's immediate managers;
- g) DPC TIANJIN will, using objective measures, determine the regions or countries in which it operates that pose higher risks of corruption. It will, on a periodic basis, conduct rigorous FCPA audits of its operations

in such regions or countries, which audits shall include:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

24

27 |

28

- detailed audits of the operating unit's books and records, with specific attention to payments and commissions to agents, consultants, and subcontractors and contributions to joint ventures;
- ii. audits of selected agents, consultants, subcontractors, and joint ventures, where authorized by the governing contract or retention agreement;
- iii. interviews with relevant employees, consultant, agents, sub-contractors, and joint venture partners.

MERGER OR SALE OF DPC TIANJIN

- 31. DPC TIANJIN agrees that in the event it, or its parent DPC, sells or merges all of DPC TIANJIN's business operations as they exist as of the date of this Agreement to a single 17 l transferee or related group of transferees, whether such sale is 18 ||structured as a stock or asset sale, DPC TIANJIN shall include in 19 | any contract for sale or merger a provision binding the purchaser or successor fully to the obligations described in this Agreement but solely with respect to the business operations of DPC 22 | TIANJIN, including the provisions set forth in the FCPA 23 | Compliance Program and Monitor Section and this section.
- In the event of any such sale or merger of DPC a. 25 TIANJIN, the purchaser or successor agrees that the Monitor shall 26 ||continue his or her duties as described in the provisions set forth in the FCPA Compliance and Monitor Section above. In the event DPC TIANJIN has not selected a Monitor by the effective

date of any sale or merger, the Monitor shall be selected by the Fraud Section. The Monitor shall be subject to the same 3 restrictions as set forth in paragraph 27 above. Subject to Fraud Section approval, the Monitor shall be allowed to hire others to assist with it's duties. Within one hundred eighty (180) days of being retained the Monitor shall conduct an appropriate review of the effectiveness of the purchaser's or successor's program for compliance with applicable FCPA and other anti-bribery laws and report its findings to the Fraud Section.

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

17

20

21

22

24

- If after reviewing the preliminary report the Fraud Section in its sole discretion determines that the purchaser or successor has an effective FCPA/anti-bribery compliance program, then the Monitor shall within one hundred eighty days (180) of issuing its preliminary assessment to the 15 ||Fraud Section report back to the Fraud Section regarding the 16 efficacy of the integration by the purchaser or successor of DPC TIANJIN into the purchaser's or successor's existing FCPA/anti-18 | bribery compliance program, including but not limited to the 19 implementation of FCPA training for appropriate DPC TIANJIN employees (the "integration report"). If after reviewing the integration report the Fraud Section in its sole discretion determines that the purchaser or successor has effectively 23 integrated DPC TIANJIN into its existing FCPA/anti-bribery compliance program, the purchaser or successor will no longer be 25 | bound by the FCPA Compliance and Monitor provisions of this 26 |Agreement.
- If after reviewing the preliminary report the c. 28 ||Fraud Section in its sole discretion determines that the

purchaser or successor does not have an effective FCPA/antibribery compliance program, then the purchaser or successor shall, as noted above, remain bound by the terms of this Agreement but solely with respect to the business operations of DPC TIANJIN. In addition, the purchaser or successor shall retain the Monitor for three years, less any time that the Monitor may have consulted with DPC TIANJIN before any purchase or sale of DPC TIANJIN. Within one-hundred and eighty days (180) of issuing its preliminary assessment to the Fraud Section, the Monitor shall report to the Department regarding the purchaser's or successor's efforts to comply with the provisions in the FCPA Compliance Program and Monitor Section of this Agreement and with the conditions specified in this paragraph.

d. If, as part of or in connection with any sale or merger of DPC TIANJIN, all of DPC TIANJIN's business operations in the People's Republic of China are terminated, the requirements of this section 31 shall not apply.

OBLIGATIONS OF THE USAO AND THE FRAUD SECTION

- 32. If defendant DPC TIANJIN complies fully with all defendant's obligations under this Agreement, the USAO and the Fraud Section agree:
- a) To abide by all sentencing stipulations contained in this Agreement.
- b) Not to further prosecute defendant, any successors, and any of its past or present subsidiaries, divisions, parent, or affiliates for violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1, et seq., disclosed to the Fraud Section as of the date of this

Defendant understands that the USAO and the Fraud 2 Section are free to prosecute defendant, any successors, and any 3 |of its present or past subsidiaries, divisions, parent, or 4 affiliates for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after that date.

In connection with defendant's sentencing, to |bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.

5

6

11

16

17

27

To bring this Agreement and both DPC TIANJIN's and 10 ||its parent company's cooperation to the attention of other prosecuting authorities or other agencies, if requested, 12 | including but not limited to other components of the Department 13 of Justice, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the 14 U.S. Department of Defense, and the U.S. Food and Drug 15 Administration

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

- If defendant, at any time between the execution of this 18 | Agreement and the completion of defendant's cooperation pursuant 19 [to this Agreement, knowingly and willfully violates or fails to 20 | perform any of defendant's obligations under this Agreement ("a 21 ||breach"), the USAO or the Fraud Section may declare this 22 | Agreement breached. If the USAO or the Fraud Section declares 23 the Agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have 24 |occurred, defendant will not be able to withdraw defendant's 25 guilty plea, and the USAO and the Fraud Section will be relieved of all its obligations under this Agreement. In particular:
- a) The USAO and the Fraud Section will no longer be 28 | bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to

1 | seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crime to which defendant has pleaded guilty.

3

6

8

9

10

11 1

12

15

17

19

20

21

23

25

26

- The USAO and the Fraud Section will no longer be b) |bound by any agreements regarding criminal prosecution, and will 5 be free to prosecute defendant for any charges that the USAO or the Fraud Section would otherwise have been obligated not to prosecute pursuant to this Agreement.
 - The USAO and the Fraud Section will be free to prosecute defendant for making false statements, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- The USAO and the Fraud Section will no longer be 13 | bound by any agreement regarding the use of statements, tangible √evidence, or information provided by defendant, and will be free to use any of those in any way in any investigation, prosecution, 16 Nor civil or administrative action. Defendant will not be able to lassert either (1) that those statements, tangible evidence, or information were obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination, or (2) any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 11(f)of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule, that statements, tangible evidence, or information provided by defendant before or after the signing of this Agreement, or any leads derived therefrom, should be inadmissible.
 - Following a knowing and willful breach of this Agreement by defendant, should the USAO or the Fraud Section elect to pursue any criminal charge or any civil or

administrative action that was not filed as a result of this Agreement, then:

- a) Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this Agreement and the discovery by the USAO or the Fraud Section of any knowing and willful breach by defendant.
- b) Defendant gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of preindictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such prosecution or action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing of this Agreement.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK

- 35. Defendant DPC TIANJIN understands that the law gives defendants the right to appeal sentences imposed. Defendant DPC TIANJIN, however, gives up the right to appeal any sentence imposed by the Court, and the manner in which the sentence is determined, provided that defendant DPC TIANJIN is sentenced to not more than a \$2,000,000 fine and a \$400 special assessment. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a post-conviction collateral attack on the sentence, except a post-conviction collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel.
- 36. The USAO and the Fraud Section give up their rights to appeal the sentence imposed by the Court and the manner in which the sentence is determined, provided that the Court sentences defendant DPC TIANJIN to pay a total of \$2,000,000 in fines and a \$400 special assessment.

Defendant DPC TIANJIN agrees that if, at or before the time of sentencing, defendant DPC TIANJIN believes that the USAO or the Fraud Section has acted in violation of this Agreement in any way, defendant DPC TIANJIN will make that claim at or before the time of sentencing. If defendant does not object at or before the time of sentencing, defendant DPC TIANJIN gives up any 7 | right to later make that claim in challenging the conviction or sentence on appeal or collateral attack, except in a collateral attack raising a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel.

SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

- 38. The Court is not a party to this Agreement and need not 12 accept it. However, this Agreement will be presented to the 13 Court under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(c) and, pursuant to that Rule, the Court may either accept or reject the 15 Agreement or may defer its decision as to the acceptance or 16 rejection until there has been an opportunity to consider the 17 | presentence report. The rights of defendant and of the USAO and 18 the Fraud Section should the Court reject this Agreement are set 19 | forth in paragraph 8 supra.
- 39. This Agreement applies only to crimes relating to the 21 payments to foreign officials committed by defendant and any 22 subsidiaries, divisions, parent, or affiliates of defendant, has 23 no effect on any proceedings against any defendant not expressly 24 |mentioned herein, and shall not preclude any past, present, or 25 | future forfeiture actions except as expressly set forth above.

27

26 ///

20

3 l

5

10

11

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

40. Except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings or agreements between the USAO or the Fraud Section and defendant or defendant's counsel with respect to the subject matter hereof. Nor may any additional agreement, understanding or condition be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

8 ///

1 This agreement is effective upon signature by defendant and 2 an Assistant United States Attorney. 3 AGREED AND ACCEPTED 4 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 5 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA б DEBRA WONG YANG 7 United States Attorney 8 Date STEVEN D. CLYMER 9 Special Assistant United States Attorney 10 Chief, Criminal Division 11 JOSHUA R. HOCHBERG Chief, Fraud Section 13 Criminal Division 14 MARK F. MENDELSOHN Deputy Chief, Fraud Section 16 Criminal Division 17 18 ADRIAN D. MEBANE Trial Attorney, Fraud Section 19 Criminal Division United States Department of Justice 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.,

Michael Ziering Chairman

Attorney for Defendant DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.,

Date

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

Along with other members of my firm, I am counsel for DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD. In connection with such representation, we have examined relevant DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD. documents, and have discussed this Agreement with the authorized representative of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD. Based on our review of the foregoing materials and discussions, we are of the opinion that:

- 1. Michael Ziering, Chairman of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., is duly authorized to enter into this Agreement on behalf of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.
- 2. This Agreement has been duly and validly authorized, executed and delivered on behalf of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., and is a valid and binding obligation of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.

Further, we have carefully reviewed every part of this Agreement with the chairman of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD. We have fully advised the chairman of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.'s rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this Agreement. To my knowledge, DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.'s decision to enter into this Agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

Ira H. Raphaelson

O'Melveny & Myers. L.L.P.

Date 5/19/05

CHAIRMAN'S CERTIFICATE

I have read this agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with counsel for DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD. I understand the terms of this Agreement and voluntarily agree, on behalf of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., to each of the terms. Before signing this Agreement, I consulted with the counsel for DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD. Counsel fully advised me of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.'s rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this Agreement. No promises or inducements have been made other than those contained in this Agreement. Furthermore, no one has threatened or forced me, or to my knowledge any person authorizing this Agreement on behalf of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., in any way to enter into this Agreement. I am also satisfied with counsel's representation in this matter. I certify that I am a director and chairman of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., and that I have been duly authorized by DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD. to execute this plea agreement on behalf of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.

		5-18-05
DPC	(TIANJIN) CO. LTD.	DATE
BY:	MICHAEL ZIERING GHAIRMAN	

ACTION BY WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE SOLE SHAREHOLDER OF DPC (TLANJIN) CO. LTD.

The undersigned, being the sole shareholder (referred to as "Investor") of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., acting pursuant to the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Association dated September 30, 1997 (the "Articles of Association"), does hereby take the following action and approves the following resolutions by written consent:

RESOLVED, that pursuant to Article 17 of the Articles of Association, the Investor hereby removes all incumbent directors of the Company from office and hereby elects the following persons to serve as directors of the Company: Michael Ziering, Ira Ziering and Bill Kozel;

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the incumbent Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Company are hereby removed from office and that Michael Ziering is hereby appointed Chairman and Ira Ziering is hereby appointed Vice Chairman in accordance with Article 19 of the Articles of Association and, in accordance with Article 20 of the Articles of Association, Michael Ziering, Chairman, or Ira Ziering, Vice Chairman (if the Chairman is unable to perform his duties) shall be the legal representative of the Company, and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the officers of the Company shall give all required notices of the removal and election of directors and officers pursuant hereto in accordance with Articles 18 and 19 of the Articles of Association.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has executed this written consent as of this 17th day of May, 2005.

DIAGNOSTIC PRODUCTS CORPORATION

Michael Ziering, Chief Executive Officer and

legal representative of Investor

CERTIFICATION

I, Fritz Backer, hereby certify that I am the duly elected Secretary of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.; that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of resolutions duly adopted by the Board of Directors of said Corporation by unanimous written consent; that such resolutions have not been rescinded or revoked; and that the foregoing resolutions are not contrary to any provisions in the Articles of Association of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto signed my name as Secretary of said Corporation this /9th day of May, 2005.

ACTION BY UNANIMOUS WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.

The undersigned, being all of the directors of DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.. acting pursuant to Article 30 of the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Association (the "Articles of Association") do hereby take the following action and approve the following resolutions by unanimous written consent:

WHEREAS, Chapter 5 of the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Association requires the Company to have a general manager and such other officers as the Board may from time to time determine; and

WHEREAS, it is deemed advisable to appoint such officers;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the current general manager and officers of the Company are hereby removed from office and that the following persons are elected to the offices set forth opposite their respective names:

General Manager - Bill Kozel
President - Ira Ziering
Secretary - Fritz Backus

WHEREAS, the Company's sole shareholder, Diagnostic Products Corporation, has negotiated a plea agreement with the Department of Justice ("DOJ") to settle the DOJ's investigation of the Company; and

WHEREAS, the directors have had the opportunity to review the proposed plea agreement and to consult with counsel regarding such agreement and the Special Committee of the Board of Directors of Diagnostic Products Corporation has approved the execution of the plea agreement; be it

RESOLVED, that DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., which has been the subject of an investigation by the United States Department of Justice in connection with commission payments made to physicians and laboratory personnel employed by government-owned hospitals in the People's Republic of China, consents to a settlement of the investigation and will, in the Central District of California, enter a plea of guilty to a one count Information charging DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., with violating the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-1; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the Chairman or Vice Chairman of this Corporation be, and each hereby is, authorized to waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to the Information substantially in such form as reviewed by this Board of Directors; and

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the Chairman or Vice Chairman of this Corporation be, and each hereby is, authorized to execute the Plea Agreement on behalf of the Corporation substantially in such form as reviewed by this Board of Directors.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the under Written Consont as of this 18 day o	reigned have excurred this Unauthous f May, 2005.
Written Consent as of this	111
	Michael Ziering, Chairman and Director
	Ira Ziering, Vice Chairman and Director
	Bill Kozel, Director

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the Chairman or Vice Chairman of this Corporation be, and each hereby is, authorized to execute the Plea Agreement on behalf of the Corporation substantially in such form as reviewed by this Board of Directors.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Unanimous Written Consent as of this 18th day of May, 2005.

Michael Ziering, Chairman and I	Director
IN.	
Ira Ziering, Vice Chairman and I	Director
`	
Bill Kozel, Director	

RESOLVED FURTHER, that the Chairman or Vice Chairman of this Corporation be, and each hereby is, sulhorized to execute the Plea Agreement on behalf of the Corporation substantially in such form as reviewed by this Board of Directors.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Unanimous Written Consent as of this ______ day of May, 2005.

Michael Ziering, Chairman and Director

Ira Ziering, Vice Chairman and Director

Kill Kozel Director

```
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
                FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9
                                         CR 05-
  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
11
                                         INFORMATION
                                          [15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1: Foreign
12
             ν.
                                          Corrupt Practices Act]
13
  DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.,
14
                  Defendant.
15
16
17
       The United States Attorney charges:
                          [15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1]
18
       At all times relevant to this Information:
19
       INTRODUCTION
20
             Diagnostic Products Corporation ("DPC") was a U.S.
21
22 corporation engaged in providing immunodiagnostic systems and
23 immunochemistry kits throughout the world and maintained its
                                                             DPC was
24 principal place of business in Los Angeles, California.
25 an "issuer" within the meaning of the Foreign Corrupt Practices
26 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1. As an issuer, DPC regularly filed its
27 financial statements with the U.S. Securities and Exchange
28 Commission. Defendant DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., formerly Tianjin
```

- Depu (DPC) Biotechnological and Medical Products, Inc. ["DPC ITIANJIN"] was a wholly-owned subsidiary of DPC that had its principal place of business in Tianjin, China. Defendant DPC ITIANJIN acted as an agent of DPC within the meaning of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1. Defendant DPC ITIANJIN's financial results were a component of the consolidated financial statements included in DPC's filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.
- 2. Defendant DPC TIANJIN's main business operations were to produce and sell DPC TIANJIN's immunodiagnostic systems, immunochemistry kits, and other medical equipment. The main source of revenue of defendant DPC TIANJIN was from sales of its immunoassays (test kits), which were utilized to identify and measure medically significant chemical substances. The clinical applications of these immunoassays related to the diagnosis and management of thyroid, reproductive, and cardiac disorders; infectious diseases; anemia; diabetes; and certain types of cancer.
- 3. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA"), as amended, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78dd-1, et sec., prohibited payments to foreign government officials to obtain or retain business.

B. PAYMENTS TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS

24 Commission Payments

25 4. At least as early as late 1991 and continuing through 26 on or about December 12, 2002, defendant DPC TIANJIN made cash

27

22

1 commission payments to laboratory personnel and doctors employed 2 by hospitals owned by the government of the People's Republic of 3 China (the "hospitals") to obtain and retain certain business 4 involving the sale of immunodiagnostic systems, immunochemistry 5 kits, and other medical equipment. The hospitals were 6 instrumentalities of the government of the People's Republic of 7 China, and the laboratory personnel and doctors were "foreign officials" as that term is defined in the FCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-9 1(f)(1)(A).

- Defendant DPC TIANJIN entered into sales agreements and 11 purchase orders with certain of these hospitals in the People's 12 Republic of China for immunodiagnostic systems, immunochemistry 13 kits, and other medical equipment. The practice of entering into 14 such agreements was authorized by the General Manager of 15 defendant DPC TIANJIN, on behalf of the defendant.
- 16 Although defendant DPC TIANJIN was a major provider in 17 the People's Republic of China of immunodiagnostic systems, 18 immunochemistry kits, and other medical equipment, there were 19 other manufacturers and suppliers in the People's Republic of 20 China with whom defendant DPC TIANJIN competed. In order to 21 obtain and retain business with these hospitals for the sales of 22 | immunodiagnostic systems, immunochemistry kits, and other medical 23 equipment, defendant DPC TIANJIN, acting through its officers and 24 agents, entered into sales agreements with people employed by the 25 hospitals -- usually the laboratory heads or assistants --26 whereby these employees would receive commissions on defendant

1 DPC TIANJIN's sales of immunodiagnostic systems, immunochemistry 2 kits, and other medical equipment (hereinafter referred to as the 3 "commission payments"). The commission payments were generally 4 3-5%, but sometimes as high as 20%, of the gross sales generated 5 by the contracts. The responsible officers of defendant DPC 6 TIANJIN understood that the hospitals would not have entered into 7 or maintained their business with the defendant if such 8 commission payments had not been made.

- The General Manager of defendant DPC TIANJIN authorized 7. 10 hand deliveries of the commission payments, in cash, as well as 11 by mail and wire transfers.
- 12 From in or about late 1991, through on or about 13 December 12, 2002, defendant DPC TIANJIN made commission payments 14 totaling approximately \$1,623,326 to laboratory department heads 15 and assistants in several hospitals in China, as follows:

	l l				
16	<u>Date</u>	Amount	of	Commission	Payments
17	1991			\$6,349	•
18	1992			\$33,159	
19	1993			\$42,340	
20	1994			\$37,724	
21	1995			\$88,433	
22	1996			\$97,058	
23	1997			\$121,446	
24	1998			\$140,934	
25	1999			\$180,940	
26	2000			\$262,487	
27					

1

2

3

4

\$301,305

2002

\$311,151

Authorization and Recording of the Payments

- Defendant DPC TIANJIN recorded the commission payments 5 on its books and records as "selling expenses." DPC regularly 6 incorporated defendant DPC TIANJIN's selling expenses amount into 7 lits consolidated financial statements which then were included in 8 filings to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. 9 General Manager and Deputy General Manager of defendant DPC 10 TIANJIN regularly prepared and submitted to DPC reports 11 containing defendant DPC TIANJIN's financial statements. 12 Defendant DPC TIANJIN routinely sent these reports by electronic 13 mail message and facsimile to DPC's principal place of business 14 in Los Angeles, California.
- 10. The General Manager of defendant DPC TIANJIN authorized 15 16 the practice of paying the commission payments to the employees 17 of the hospitals. The General Manager of defendant DPC TIANJIN 18 also approved and caused to be approved the budgets for sales 19 expenses of DPC TIANJIN, which included the commission payments 20 that DPC TIANJIN intended to pay to the employees of the 21 hospitals in the following quarter or year. Defendant DPC 22 TIANJIN caused approval of the proposed budgets to be sent by 23 telephone, facsimile, and electronic mail from Los Angeles, 24 California, to Tianjin, China.

25 1///

26

27

- FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES ACT VIOLATION

2 11. In or about November 2002, in the Central District of California and elsewhere, defendant, DPC TIANJIN used electronic 4 mail and other means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce 5 corruptly in furtherance of an offer, promise to pay, and 6 authorization of the payment of money to officials of the 7 government of the People's Republic of China, for the purpose of 8 influencing the acts and decisions of said foreign officials in their official capacities; inducing said foreign officials to do 10 and omit to do acts in violation of their lawful duty; securing Il an improper advantage; and inducing said foreign officials to use 12 their influence with instrumentalities of a foreign government to 13 affect and influence acts and decisions of said instrumentalities 14 of a foreign government in order to assist defendant DPC TIANJIN 15 in obtaining and retaining business for and with, and directing 16 business to, defendant, to wit, DPC TIANJIN made payments to 17 ||foreign government officials, that is, doctors and laboratory 18 personnel employed by hospitals owned by the government of the 19 People's Republic of China, in order to secure and maintain 20 agreements for the sale of immunodiagnostic systems, 21 |immunochemistry kits, and other medical equipment, and caused to 22 be sent an electronic mail message from Tianjin, China to Los 23 ///

24

1

25

26

27

1 Angeles, California containing a monthly report concerning the 2 allowance of said payments. 3 4 5 DEBRA WONG YANG United States Attorney 6 7 8 STEVEN D. CLYMER Special Assistant United States 9 Attorney Chief, Criminal Division 10 JOSHUA R. HOCHBERG 11 Chief, Fraud Section Criminal Division 12 13 MARK F. MENDELSOHN Deputy Chief, Fraud Section 14 Criminal Division 15 ADRIAN D. MEBANE 16 Trial Attorney Criminal Division 17 United States Department of Justice 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Should this matter have proceeded to trial, the United States would have proven, by admissible evidence, the following facts beyond a reasonable doubt:

- l. Diagnostic Products Corporation ("DPC") was a U.S. corporation established in 1971 with its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California. Defendant DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD., formerly Tianjin Depu (DPC) Biotechnological and Medical Products, Inc. ("DPC TIANJIN") was a wholly-owned subsidiary of DPC. DPC TIANJIN had its principal place of business in Tianjin, China and has been doing business in the People's Republic of China since 1991.
- 2. Among other things, DPC TIANJIN sold immunodiagnostic systems, immunodiagnostic kits and other medical equipment to hospitals owned by the government of the People's Republic of China.
- 3. Since at least late 1991 through December 12, 2002, DPC TIANJIN paid improper commissions to doctors and laboratory personnel who controlled the purchasing decisions for the internal medicine and nuclear medicine departments of certain hospitals, including hospitals owned by the government of the People's Republic of China ("the subject hospitals"), for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business with the subject hospitals. These improper commissions, typically between 3% and 10% of sales, totaled approximately \$1,623,326 from late 1991

- 1 through December 12, 2002. During most of this period, the 2 payments of these commissions were authorized by the General 3 Manager of DPC TIANJIN. In most cases, the commissions were paid 4 in cash and hand-delivered by DPC TIANJIN salespersons to the person who controlled purchasing decisions for the particular hospital department.
- DPC TIANJIN recorded the commission payments on its 8 books and records as "selling expenses." The General Manager and 9 Deputy General Manager of DPC TIANJIN regularly prepared and submitted to DPC financial statements which contained DPC TIANJIN's sales expenses. DPC TIANJIN routinely sent these statements by electronic mail message to DPC's principal place of 13 business in Los Angeles, California.
- The General Manager of defendant DPC TIANJIN authorized 15 the practice of paying the commission payments to the employees 16 of the hospitals. The General Manager of defendant DPC TIANJIN 17 also approved and caused to be approved the budgets for sales 18 expenses of DPC TIANJIN, which included the amounts DPC TIANJIN 19 intended to pay to the employees of the hospitals in the 20 | following quarter or year. Defendant DPC TIANJIN caused to be 21 sent approval of the proposed budgets by telephone, facsimile, 22 and electronic mail from Los Angeles, California, to Tianjin, China.
- In or about November 2002, DPC TIANJIN caused to be 24 25 sent an electronic email message from Tianjin, China, to Los 26 Angeles, California containing a monthly report concerning the

7

1 allowance of payments to doctors and laboratory personnel 2 employed by hospitals owned by the Chinese government to assist 3 DPC TIANJIN in obtaining or retaining agreements for the sale of 4 immunodiagnostic systems, immunochemistry kits and other medical supplies. ///

Dated this the 13 day of May, 2005.

FOR DPC (TIANUIN) CO. LTD.

Michael Ziering

Chairman

DPC (TIANUIN) CO. LTD.

pergan holitic Attorneys for Defendant DFC (MANUN) CO. LTD.

Games

D'Melson of Myers LLP

For the United States

Steven D. Clymar Special Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

Joshua R. Hochbarg Chief, Fraud Section Criminal Division

By:

Mark F. Mendelsohn Deputy Chief, Fraud Section Criminal Division

Adrian D. Mebane Trial Attorney Criminal Division

United States Department of Justice

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, KAREN GLANZ, declare:

That I am a citizen of the United States and resident or employed in Los Angeles County, California; that my business address is the Office of United States Attorney, United States Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012; that I am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to the above-entitled action;

That I am employed by the United States Attorney for the Central District of California who is a member of the Bar of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, at whose direction I served a copy of: PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DPC (TIANJIN) CO. LTD.

service was:

- [] Placed in a closed [XX] Placed in a sealed envelope, for collection envelope for collection and and interoffice delivery addressed as follows:

 [XX] Placed in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing via United States Mail, addressed as follows:
- [] By hand delivery addressed as follows:
- [] By facsimile as follows:
- [] By messenger as follows: [] By federal express as follows:

James R. Asperger O'Melveny and Myers, LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071

This Certificate is executed on May 20, 2005, at Los Angeles, California.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

KAREN GLANZ