## Case 2:12-cv-01282-JLR Document 13 Filed 09/21/12 Page 1 of 6 Case 2:12-cv-01282-JLR Document 11 Filed 09/19/12 Page 1 of 6

1 THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. 2:12-cv-01282-JLR 9 Plaintiff. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 10 FOR MODIFICATION AND FOR ENTRY v. OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THE 11 PARTIES' SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT CITY OF SEATTLE, AND STIPULATED ORDER OF 12 RESOLUTION Defendant. 13 Please note on motion calendar for: 14 September 19, 2012 15 Pursuant to this Court's Order of August 30, 2012 Provisionally Approving the 16 Settlement Agreement (Dkt. No. 8), Plaintiff United States of America and Defendant City of 17 Seattle (collectively, the "Parties") hereby STIPULATE, AGREE and JOINTLY AND 18 RESPECTFULLY MOVE the Court (a) to modify the Parties' Settlement Agreement and 19 Stipulated [Proposed] Order of Resolution (Dkt. No. 3-1, "Settlement Agreement and Order of 20 Resolution") and (b) to enter preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement and Order of 21 Resolution, as follows: 22 No later than 60 days from the Effective Date, i.e. by October 26, 2012, the 23 Parties will select a Monitor. Given this Agreement's emphasis on use of force, one STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR MODIFICATION AND UNITED STATES ATTORNEY PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT- 1 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, WA 98101-1271 Case No. 2:12-CV-01282-JLR (206) 553-7970

#### Case 2:12-cy-01282-JLR Document 13 Filed 09/21/12 Page 2 of 6

1	qualification the Parties should consider is the Monitor's experience in law enforcement or			
2	criminal justice. The Parties will advise the Court on the progress of the selection of the Monito			
3	through regular joint status reports submitted to the Court and additional status conferences as			
4	directed by the Court. If the Parties are able to agree on a Monitor, on or before October 26,			
5	2012, the Parties will file a Stipulated Motion and [Proposed] Order for Approval of the Monito			
6	with the Court. If the Parties are unable to agree on a Monitor, each Party will submit the name			
7	of three candidates, or three groups of candidates, along with resumes and cost proposals, to the			
8	Court, and the Court will select and appoint the Monitor from among the qualified			
9	candidates/candidate groups.			
10	172. The Monitor will be an agent of the Court for purposes of assessing the City's			
11	compliance with the Settlement Agreement. The Monitor will only have the duties,			
12	responsibilities, and authority conferred by the Agreements. The Monitor will not, and is not			
13	intended to, replace or assume the role and duties of any City or SPD staff or officials, including			
14	the Chief.			
15	173(b). The Monitor will issue public reports every six months detailing the Parties'			
16	compliance with the Settlement Agreement. The Monitor will also file these reports with the			
17	Court. In addition, the Monitor will provide status updates directly to the Court following the bi			
10				

th resumes and cost proposals, to the om among the qualified or purposes of assessing the City's will only have the duties, . The Monitor will not, and is not ity or SPD staff or officials, including ery six months detailing the Parties' will also file these reports with the directly to the Court following the bimonthly status meetings with the parties referenced in paragraph 191. 177. SPD will submit the policies, procedures, training curricula, and training manuals

required to be written, revised, or maintained by the Settlement Agreement to the Monitor and DOJ for review and comment prior to publication and implementation. The Parties will meet and confer regarding any comments on the policies, procedures, training curricula, and training manuals within 45 days of submission if necessary. The Monitor will approve the materials

19

20

21

22

23

# Case 2:12-cv-01282-JLR Document 13 Filed 09/21/12 Page 3 of 6 Case 2:12-cv-01282-JLR Document 11 Filed 09/19/12 Page 3 of 6

unless the Monitor determines that they conflict with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. If the Monitor disapproves, he or she will state the reasons for the decision in writing.

178. If either Party objects to the determination of the Monitor the Parties will meet and confer on the objections within 14 days. If necessary, and consistent with the other deadlines herein, any Party may petition the Court thereafter to resolve the objections. The Parties will also submit to the Court the policies and procedures approved by the Monitor. The Court may, at its discretion, hold a status conference with the Monitor and the Parties to discuss the approved policies and procedures, and any petition to resolve objections.

219. The Settlement Agreement is binding upon all Parties hereto, by and through their officials, agents, employees, and successors. If the City establishes or reorganizes a government agency or entity whose function includes overseeing, regulating, accrediting, investigating, or otherwise reviewing the operations of SPD or any aspect thereof, the City agrees to ensure these functions and entities are consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and will incorporate the terms of the Settlement Agreement into the oversight, regulatory, accreditation, investigation, or review functions of the government agency or entity as necessary to ensure consistency. The Settlement Agreement is enforceable only by the Parties. No person or entity is intended to be a third-party beneficiary of the provisions of the Settlement Agreement for purposes of any civil, criminal, or administrative action, and accordingly, no person or entity may assert any claim or right as a beneficiary or protected class under the Settlement Agreement. Although the foregoing is a statement of the Parties' intent with respect to the applicability of the Settlement Agreement, this provision does not prejudge standing or the right to intervene in any federal court action.

# 

223. To ensure that the requirements of the Settlement Agreement are properly and timely implemented, the Court will retain jurisdiction of this action for all purposes, including but not limited to any disputed changes to policies, procedures, training, and practices, until such time as the Court determines that the City has achieved full and effective compliance with the Settlement Agreement and has maintain such compliance for no less than two years. At all times, the City and SPD will bear the burden of demonstrating substantial compliance with the Settlement Agreement. When the United States, the Monitor, and the Court agree that the City has maintained substantial compliance, the City will be relieved of that portion of the Settlement Agreement.

224. The United States acknowledges the good faith of the City of Seattle in trying to address the remedial measures that are needed to ensure constitutional policing in Seattle. The United States, however, reserves its right to seek enforcement of the provisions of the Settlement Agreement if it determines that the City and SPD have failed to fully comply with any provision of this Agreement. The United States agrees to consult with officials from the City of Seattle before commencing enforcement proceedings, and to provide opportunity to cure consistent with the informal dispute resolution procedure set forth in Paragraph 222. After notice to the Parties and the Monitor, and an opportunity to be heard, the Court also has the power, *sua sponte*, to issue orders or directions to the Parties and/or the Monitor regarding the Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Order of Final Resolution including, but not limited to, the construction, performance, and enforcement of its terms and provisions, as well as punishment for any violations or lack of compliance.

229. The Parties anticipate that the City and SPD will have reached full and effective compliance with this Agreement within five years of its Effective Date. The Parties may agree

# 

1	to jointly ask the Court to terminate the Agreement prior to this date, provided the City and SPD				
2	have been in full and effective compliance with the Agreement for two years. In any event, the				
3	Parties' Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Order of Resolution may only be terminated with				
4	the consent of the Court.				
5	So stipulated, agreed, and respectfully and jointly submitted on September 19, 2012.				
6					
7	For the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:				
8	ERIC H. HOLDER, JR. Attorney General of the United States of America				
9	JENNY A. DURKAN	THOMAS E. PEREZ			
0	United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington	Assistant Attorney Ger Civil Rights Division	neral		
1	/s/ J. Michael Diaz Kerry J. Keefe, Civil Chief	/s/ Timothy D. Mygatt			
2	J. Michael Diaz, Assistant United States Attorney Rebecca S. Cohen, Assistant United States Attorney	Jonathan M. Smith, Ch Timothy D. Mygatt, Sp Michelle L. Leung, Tr	pecial Counsel		
3	United States Attorney's Office Western District of Washington	Michael J. Songer, Tri	al Attorney		
4	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220	United States Departm Civil Rights Division-	Special Lit. Section		
.5	Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 Phone: (206) 553-7970	950 Pennsylvania Ave Washington, DC 2053	0		
6	Fax: (206) 553-4073 E-mail: Michael.Diaz@usdoj.gov	Phone: (202) 514-625 E-mail: Michelle,Leur			
.7					
8	For the CITY OF SEATTLE:				
9	PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney				
20	By: s/ Jean Boler				
21	By: s/ Sarah K. Morehead				
22	Peter S. Holmes, Seattle City Attorney Jean Boler, Civil Chief				
23	Sarah K. Morehead, Assistant City Attorney Seattle City Attorney's Office				
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR MODIFICATION PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT- 5 Case No. 2:12-CV-01282-JLR	AND	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Scattle, WA 98101-1271 (206) 553-7970		

## 

1 PO Box 94769 Seattle, WA 98124-4769 2 Phone: (206) 684-8200 Fax: (206) 684-8284 3 E-mail: jean.boler@seattle.gov sarah.morehead@seattle.gov 4 5 PROPOSED ORDER ENTERING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER OF RESOLUTION 6 The Parties having so stipulated, the Court MODIFIES in the above-agreed form, and 7 ENTERS preliminary approval of, the Parties' Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Order of 8 Resolution as an order of this Court, this 2\square day of September, 2012. The Court will enter 9 final approval of the parties' Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Order of Resolution after it 10 determines that the City has achieved full and effective compliance and maintained such 11 compliance for no less than two years. 1 12 13 14 JAMES L. R OBART United States District Judge 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Full and effective compliance" is defined in paragraph 177 of the Parties' Settlement Agreement.